## Part B-Program Assessment Worksheet **Program Level Criteria - To be Assessed by Evalutaor**

Name of the Institution \_\_\_\_\_\_ Name of the Program \_\_\_\_\_\_

Criterio	Criterion 1: Program Curriculum and Teaching – Learning Processes (125)								
S.No.	Sub Criteria	Max.	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks A	warded	Overall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide		
5.110.	Sub Citteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks	Total	Marks	Justifications/ Reasons)		
1.1.	Program Curriculum	35							
1.1.1.	State the process for designing the program curriculum	10	Process used to demonstrate how the program curriculum is evolved and periodically reviewed considering the POs.						
1.1.2.	Structure of the Curriculum	5	Refer to SAR: Expectation in 1.1.2 & 1.1.3 is that the curriculum is well balanced structure & appropriate for a PG program. In 1.1.2 look at the entire curriculum in detail. It shall allow an evaluator to identify oddities (if any) at the individual course level.			Overall Marks for 1.1			
1.1.3.	State the components of the curriculum	10	Refer to SAR: Expectation in 1.1.2 & 1.1.3 is that the curriculum is well balanced structure & appropriate for a PG program. In 1.1.3 the evaluator can see the distribution of credits amongst different components. It allows him to decide if the curriculum is balanced						
1.1.4.	Overall quality and level of program curriculum	10	Overall Judgement of the experts. The intent of this section is to arrive at a judgment on whether or the program can allow attainment of Program Outcomes. As such it relies heavily on the domain expertise of the Evaluator. He alone can decide if the program, as given, is capable of leading to PO attainment. Were the POs actually attained is to be determined in a later section.						
In case	of affiliated institutions following criteria w of affiliated institutions marks will be on cc r the gaps. The marks distribution will be a	ontent be	yond to cover the gaps; if any from the POs attainment perspective. It will also inclu	de the wei	ightage on	efforts put in			
1.1.	Program Curriculum	35							
1.1.1.	Process used to identify extent of compliance of the University curriculum for attaining the Program Outcomes	10				Overall Marks for 1.1			
1.1.2.	Appropriateness of the gaps identified	5							
1.1.3.	Actions taken to bridge the gap	10							
1.1.4.	Overall quality and level of program curriculum	10							
Note: l	Note: In case program is able to demonstrate the compliance of university curriculum in attaining the program outcomes, then the marks distribution will be as indicated for non-affiliated institutions.								

		Max.	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks Awarded		Overall	<b>Observations of Evaluators (Provide</b>	
S.No.	Sub Criteria	Marks		Marks	Total	Marks	Justifications/ Reasons)	
1.2.	Teaching-Learning Processes	90						
			A. Process for end semester examination, internal semester question paper setting, evaluation and effective process implementation (3)					
1.2.1.	Quality of end semester examination, internal semester question papers, assignments and evaluation	20	B. Process to ensure questions from outcomes/learning levels perspective (3)					
			C. Evidence of COs coverage in class tests/ Mid term tests. (7) D. Quality of Assignment and its relevance to COs (7)					
			A. Very clear and concise objectives (5)					
			B. Very clear methodology, articulated using technical terms indicating all steps and tools (5)					
1.2.2.	Quality of student projects	30	C. Cites substantial current and good quality literature (4) D. Clarity in design/setting up of experiment (4) E. Benchmarks used / Assumptions made (4)					
				F. Interpretation of results and justification thereof and validity of the results presented (4)				
			G. Overall presentation of the report (4)					
			A. Industry supported laboratories (2) B. Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for			Overall		
	Initiatives related to industry		students (1) C. Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof (1)			Marks for 1.2		
1.2.3.	interaction including industry	10	D. Industrial training/tours for students (1)					
	internship/summer training		E. Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment (2)					
			F. Impact analysis of industrial training (1)					
			G. Student feedback on initiative (2)					
1.2.4.	Participation of Industry professionals in curriculum development, as examiners, in major projects	10	Documentary Evidence					
1.2.5.	Quality of laboratory work given	20	Qualitative judgement of the experts. Are the experiments so well structured that these can be done by simply following the given set of instructions?" One may not learn much in that case. Usefulness of laboratory work can be better evaluated by the amount of thought effort a student is required to put in to complete the tasks. In that case learning can happen and POs can be attained.					
Total o	f Criterion 1:	125	Overall Marks and Gra	de for Cri	iterion 1.			
						1		

Criteric	Criterion 2: Program Outcomes and Course Outcomes (75)								
S.No.	Sub Criteria	Max.	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks Awarded		Overall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide		
3.NU.	Sub chteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidennes	Marks	Total	Marks	Justifications/ Reasons)		
			A. Evidence of COs being defined for every course (3)						
	Establish the connect between the		B. Availability of COs embedded in the syllabi (3)			Overall			
2.1.	courses and the POs	15	C. Explanation of Course Articulation Matrix table to be ascertained (3)			Marks for 2.1			
			D.Explanation of Program Articulation Matrix tables to be ascertained (6)						
2.2.	Attainment of Program Outcomes	60							
	Describe the assessment tools and		A. List of assessment tools & processes (10)						
2.2.1.	processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of Program Outcome is based	20	B. The quality/relevance of assessment tools/processes used (10)			Overall Marks for 2.2			
2.2.2.	POs attainment levels with observations	40	A. Verification of documents, results and level of attainment of each PO (30)						
			B. Overall levels of attainment (10)						
Total o	f Criterion 2:	75	Overall Marks and Gra	de for Cr	iterion 2:				

Criterio	on 3: Students' Performance (75)						
S.No.	Sub Criteria	Max.	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks Awarded		Overall	<b>Observations of Evaluators (Provide</b>
5.110.	Sub citteria	Marks		Marks	Total	Marks	Justifications/ Reasons)
3.1.	Enrolment Ratio through GATE	20	<ul> <li>A. &gt;= 80% students enrolled through GATE at the First Year Level on average basis during the last three years starting from current academic year (20)</li> <li>B. &gt;= 60% students enrolled through GATE at the First Year Level on average basis during the last three years starting from current academic year(16)</li> <li>C. &gt;= 50% students enrolled through GATE at the First Year Level on average basis during the last three years starting from current academic year (12)</li> <li>D. &gt;= 40% students enrolled through GATE at the First Year Level on average basis during the last three years starting from current academic year(8)</li> <li>E. &gt;= 20% students enrolled through GATE at the First Year Level on average basis during the last three years starting from current academic year(6)</li> <li>E. &lt; 20 % students enrolled through GATE at the First Year Level on average basis during the last three years starting from current academic year(0)</li> </ul>			Overall Marks for 3.1	Mention Numbers
3.2.	Success Rate in the stipulated period of the program	20	S.I. = Number of students completing program in stipulated duration/ Number of students admitted in first year of same batch; Average S.I. = Mean of S.I. for the last 3 batches Assessment points = 20 X Average S.I.			Overall Marks for 3.2	Mention Numbers
3.3.	Placement, Higher studies and Entrepreneurship	20	Assessment Points = 20 × average placement , i.e., (P1+P2+P3)/3 Placement Index (P) =[ (x + y + z)/N]; where, x = Number of students placed in companies or Government sector y = Number of students pursuing Ph.D. / JRF/ SRF z = No. of students turned entrepreneur in engineering/technology N = Total number of students admitted in first year			Overall Marks for 3.3	Mention Numbers

S.No.	Sub Criteria	Max.	Evaluation Guidelines		warded	Overall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide
3.110.	Sub criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidennes	Marks	Total	Marks	Justifications/ Reasons)
3.4.	Professional Activities	15					
	Student's participation in		A. Availability & activities of professional societies/chapters (3)				
3.4.1.	Professional societies/chapters and	5	B. Number, quality of engineering events (organized at institute)			Overall	
	organizing engineering events		Level- Institute/State/ National/ International Levels) (2)			Marks for	
			A. Quality & Relevance of the contents and Print Material (3)			3.4	
212	Student's nublications	10	B. Participation of Students from the program (2)			5.4	
5.4.2.	Student's publications	10	C. List the publications along with the names of the authors and publishers,				
			etc.(5)				
Total o	f Criterion 3:	75	Overall Marks and Gra	de for Cri	iterion 3:		

Criterio	Criterion 4: Faculty Contributions (75)								
S.No.	Sub Criteria	Max.	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks A		Overall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide		
	Student-Faculty Ratio (SFR)	10	<ul> <li>Marks to be given proportionally from a maximum of 10 to a minimum of 05 for average SFR between 15:1 to 25:1, and zero for average SFR higher than 25:1. Marks distribution is given as below:</li> <li>&lt; = 15-10 Marks</li> <li>&lt; = 17-09 Marks</li> <li>&lt; = 19-08 Marks</li> <li>&lt; = 21-07 Marks</li> <li>&lt; = 23-06 Marks</li> <li>&lt; = 25-05 Marks</li> <li>&gt; 25.0-0 Marks</li> <li>Zonsideration of Contractual Faculty means:</li> <li>All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except Part-Time), will be considered. The contractual faculty (doing away with the terminology of visiting/adjunct faculty, whatsoever) who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters in the corresponding academic year on full time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the Faculty Student Ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:</li> <li>1.Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.</li> <li>2.Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit</li> </ul>	Marks	Total	Overall Marks for 4.1	Justifications/ Reasons)		
	Faculty competencies in the area of Program Specialization	30				Overall			
4.2.1.	Faculty competency in the domain area.	10				Marks for 4.2.			
	Faculty Research Publication	10				4.∠.			
	Faculty Development work	10							
	Faculty as participants in Faculty development /training activities /STTPs	5	Relevance of Training Program			Overall Marks for 4.3.			

S.No.	Sub Criteria	Sub Criteria Max. Evaluation Guidelines		Marks Awarded		Overall	<b>Observations of Evaluators (Provide</b>
5.110.	Sub citteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidennes	Marks	Total	Marks	Justifications/ Reasons)
4.4.	Research and Development	30			-		
4.4.1.	Sponsored Research	15	Funded research from outside; Cumulative for CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAY m3: Amount >50Lacs 15 Marks, Amount >40 and <50Lacs - 10 Marks, Amount >30 and <40Lacs - 5 Marks, Amount>15and <30Lacs - 2 Marks, Amount< 15 Lacs - 0 Mark			Overall Marks for 4.4.	Mention numbers
4.4.2.	Consultancy (From Industry)	15	Consultancy; Cumulative for CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAY m3: Amount>10 Lacs 15 Marks, Amount<10 and > 8 Lacs 10 Marks, Amount< 8 and >6 Lacs 8 Marks, Amount < 6 and >4 Lacs 5 Marks, Amount< 4 and >2 Lacs 2 Marks, Amount <2 Lacs 0 Mark				
Total o	f Criterion 4:	75	Overall Marks and Gra	de for Cri	iterion 4:		

Criterio	on 5: Laboratories and Research Faciliti	ies (75)					
S.No.	Sub Criteria	Max.	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks /	Awarded	Overall	<b>Observations of Evaluators (Provide</b>
5		Marks		Marks	Total	Marks	Justifications/ Reasons)
			A. Adequate well-equipped laboratories to run all the program-specific				
	Adequate and well equipped		curriculum (20)			Overall	
	laboratories in area of Program	30				Marks for	
	specialization		<ul> <li>B. Availability of adequate and qualified technical supporting staff (10)</li> </ul>			5.1	
	Research facilities / center of					Overall	
5.2.	excellence	30				Marks for	
	extenence					5.2	
	Access to laboratory facilities,					Overall	
5.3.	training in the use of equipment	15				Marks for	
Tatala	f Criterion 5:	75	Overall Marks and Gra	da fan Cri	iterien Fr	5.3	
_	on 6: Continuous Improvement (75)	75	Overall Marks and Gra	de for Cr	iterion 5:		
Criteric	in 6: Continuous improvement (75)	Max.		Marks	Awarded	Overall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide
S.No.	Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks	Total	Marks	Justifications/ Reasons)
	Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of each of the POs	25		marko			
			A. Documentary Evidence of POs attainment levels (10)			Overall	
6.1.			B. Identification of gaps/shortfalls (5)			Marks for	
			C. Plan of action to bridge the gap and its Implementation (10)			6.1	
	Improvement in quality of projects	10				Overall	
6.2.						Marks for	
						6.2	
			A. Improvement in Placements numbers, quality, core hiring industry and				
	Improvement in Placement, Higher		pay packages (5)		-	Overall	
6.3.	Studies and Entrepreneurship	10	B. Improvement in Higher Studies admissions for pursuing PhD. in premier			Marks for	
			institutions (3) C. Improvement in number of Entrepreneurs (2)			6.3	
						Overall	
6/	Improvement in the quality of	10	Assessment is based on improvement in terms of ranks/score in GATE			Marks for	
••••	students admitted to the program		examination			6.4	
						Overall	
65	Improvement in quality of paper	10				Marks for	
	publication					6.5	
						Overall	
6.6.	Improvement in laboratories	10				Marks for	
						6.6	
Total o	f Criterion 6:	75	Overall Marks and Gr	ade for C	riterion 6		