

PARTA

Evaluator's Visit Report

Undergraduate Engineering Program Tier-I Name of the Institution

Name of the Program

.

Visit Dates

NATIONALBOARDOFACCREDITATION

NBCC Place, East Tower, 4th Floor, Bhisham Pitamah Marg, Pragati Vihar, New Delhi 110003
Tel: +91112430620-22;01124360654; www.nbaind.org

Program Evaluator Summary

Overview

from	to	< <nameofinstitution>></nameofinstitution>	to evaluate UG Engineering
program	<< <u>nameo</u> j	ftheprogram>> .	
	_	xpert team was held on< <date>>at_</date>	
	•	-	n team members, based on review o
Self-Assess	ment Report (SAR) and the pre-visit evaluation rep	ports.
_		ng team met with Head of the Institu	_
The briefing	g on the institu	ition was given by	and on the program was given by
the (<u>Name</u>	<u>of the respective</u>	eHeadoftheDepartment/ProgramCod	<u>ordinator).</u> The respective program
evaluators	also visited	the variousfacilities of the progra	am. Apart from comprehensive review
ofdocumen	tal evidences	pertaining to various accreditation	on criteria, the visiting team also held
meetingand	discussionswi	th thefollowingstakeholders(kindlyti	ick).
		_	<u></u>
Faculty		Alui	mni
Employers		Pare	rents 🗖
	_	- -	
Staffmemb	ers	Stud	dents
			
The Program	m Evaluation T	eam found that (general findings abo	out the program to be mentioned)
ine i rogiai	ii Evaluation i	cam round that (general manigs ass	out the program to be mentioned,

Program Details

Name of the Progr	ram:					
Yearof Commencement						
	Year	Sanctioned Intake	Actua	Admitted (with	out Lateral Entry)	
	CAY(2020)					
	CAYm1 (2020)					
Student	CAYm2 (2020)					
Stadent	Total Students in the					
	Programme1st to Final Year					
	Average of the CAY,					
	CAYm1 and CAYm2					
			CAY	CAYm1	CAYm2	
	Regular	Professor				
		Associate				
		professor				
		Assistant				
		professor				
Faculty		Professor				
(Attach a Copy of		Associate				
faculty list compared with TimeTable)	Contractual	professor				
with Timerable)		Assistant				
		professor				
	No.ofPhD.availableinthedept.					
	Student-Faculty ratio			· '		
	(averaged over CAY, CAYm1					
	and CAYm2) (Refer					
	criteria-5.1)					
	First accreditation	No. of years accredited for				
Previous	i ii se acci culturion	With effect from				
Accreditation		No. of years				
(if any)	Previous accreditation	accredited for				
		With effect from				

CAY: Current Academic Year

CAYm1: Current Academic Year minus 1=Current Assessment year

CAYm2: Current Academic Year minus 2=Current Assessment year minus 1

Note: Consideration of Contractual Faculty means:

- All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except Part-Time), will be considered. The contractual faculty (doing away with the
 terminology of visiting/adjunct faculty, whatsoever) who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters in the corresponding academic
 yearon full time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the Faculty Student Ratio. However, following will be
 ensured incaseofcontractualfaculty:
 - 1. Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.
 - 2. Shall be appointed on fulltime basis and worked for consecutive two semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
 - 3. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit.
- Faculty to be calculated Department wise as per the format given in SAR; Faculty appointment letters, time table, subject allocation file, salary statements and random interaction in person.
- No. of students calculation as mentioned in the SAR (please refer table under criterion 3.1)
- Faculty Qualification as per AICTE guidelines shall only be counted

Explicit observations about the program (Please use additional sheets if necessary to elaborate)

Pr	ogram title
St	rengths:
L.	
<u>.</u>	
3.	
ŀ.	
_	
5.	
~ -	
	ncerns:
2.	
3.	
١.	
_	
5.	
W	eakness/Areas of improvement:
_	
,	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Deficienc	cies:			
1				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5. ===				
Other Ob	servations, if any:	:		
1				
2. —				
3.				
4.				

Information for Evaluation

Y= 75% or Above; C= 60% and <75%; W=40% and <60%; D<40%.

Award of Accreditation (TIERI (UG))

1. Accreditation for Six years will be accorded to a program on fulfillment of the following requirements:

i. There should not be any "Deficiency" or "Weakness" in any of the Criteria and at least Seven Criterion must be fully Compliant with only "Concerns" in the remaining Criteria

Y	С	W	D
>=7	<=3	0	0

- ii. Number of available Ph.D. in the department should be greater than or equal to 30 percent of the required number of faculty averaged over two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1).
- iii. The admissions in the UG program should be more than or equal to 60 per cent, averaged over three academic years (including lateral entry), i.e., Current Academic Year minus One (CAYm1), Current Academic Year minus Two (CAYm2) and Current Academic Year minus Three (CAYM3).
- iv. Faculty Student Ratio in the department should be less than or equal to 1:20 averaged over three academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1)and Current Academic Year Minus Two (CAYM2).
- v. At least 2 Professors or 1 Professor and 1 Associate Professor on regular basis with Ph.D. degree should be available in the respective department for two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year(CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One(CAYM1)
- vi. HOD of the program under consideration should possess Ph.D. degree in the Current Academic Year (CAY)

2. Accreditation for Three years will be accorded to a program on fulfillment of the following requirements:

i. There should be at least four criteria fully compliant with zero (0) "Deficiency" in the remaining criteria.

Y	D
>=4	<=0

ii. The admissions in the UG program under consideration should be more than or equal to 60 percent, averaged over three academic years (including lateral entry), i.e., Current Academic Year minus One (CAYm1), Current Academic Year minus Two (CAYm2) and Current Academic Year minus Three(CAYM3).

- iii. At least 2 Professors or 1 Professor and 1 Associate Professor on regular basis withPh.D. degree should be available in the respective department for two academicyears, i.e., Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year minus One (CAYM1).
- iv. The faculty student ratio in the department under consideration should be less than orequalto1:25 averaged over three academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1) and Current Academic Year Minus Two (CAYM2).
- v. Number of available Ph.D. in the department should be greater than or equal to 20 percent of the required number of faculty averaged over two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1).
- vi. HOD of the program under consideration should possess Ph.D. degree in the Current Academic Year (CAY).
- vii. In case of a "**D**" in Criterion V (Faculty Information & Contributions), the program is not considered for accreditation.

3. No Accreditation of the program:

If the program fails to meet the criteria for award of accreditation for three years, it is awarded "Not Accredited" Status.

Department/Programme Specific Criteria:

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Grade (Y,C,W, D)	Remarks
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	50			
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	100			
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	175			
4.	Students' Performance	100			
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	200			
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	80			
7.	Continuous Improvement	75			
	TOTAL	780			

Signature	Signature
(Program Evaluator1)	(Program Evaluator2)

Declaration of Conformity with evaluator's report by the Team Chair

I agree with the observations of the program evaluators on each criterion. Or					
	I agree with most of the observations of the program evaluators. However, I have following comments to make on certain criteria:				
Criteria	Comments				
Signature					

(Chairperson)