

# PRE-VISIT EVALUATION REPORT

# **Diploma Engineering Program**INSTITUTE NAME:

PROGRAM NAME:	VISIT DATES:
---------------	--------------

**EVALUATOR'S NAME:** 

Criter	Criterion 1. Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives						
S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)	
1.	Vision and Mission Statements						
2.	Consistency between institute and department Vision and Mission statements						
3.	Correlation parameters of PEO- Mission matrix are consistent and justified						

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?

Criterion 2. Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes						
S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	Attainment of POs and PSOs					
2.	Is identified Content beyond Syllabus appropriate and adequate?					
3.	Process is in place to ensure quality of internal semester question papers, assignments and their evaluation as per outcomes/learning levels perspective					
4.	Experimental Methodologies are relevant and contributes towards the attainment of POs and PSOs					
5.	Adequate process in place to Identify projects, allocation methodology, their monitoring and evaluation.					
6.	Projects are relevant and contribute towards attainment of POs					
7.	Initiatives, implementation details and Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof					
8.	Initiatives, implementation details and Impact analysis on industry internship and summer training					
9.	Availability of Information Access facilities and Student Centric Learning Initiatives					
10	Initiatives for embedding Professional Skills					

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?

Criterion 3. Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes							
Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)		
Mapping of course outcomes							
with program							
Assessment processes for							
evaluation of course outcomes							
Demonstration of attainment							
of POs & PSOs							
	Criteria  Mapping of course outcomes with program  Assessment processes for evaluation of course outcomes  Demonstration of attainment	Criteria Availability (Please tick)  Mapping of course outcomes with program  Assessment processes for evaluation of course outcomes  Demonstration of attainment	Criteria Availability (Please tick) Appropriateness/Observations  Mapping of course outcomes with program  Assessment processes for evaluation of course outcomes  Demonstration of attainment	Criteria Availability (Please tick) Appropriateness/Observations Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)  Mapping of course outcomes with program  Assessment processes for evaluation of course outcomes  Demonstration of attainment	Criteria Availability (Please tick) Appropriateness/Observations Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)  Mapping of course outcomes with program  Assessment processes for evaluation of course outcomes  Demonstration of attainment  Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)  Documentary evidences to be verified		

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?

Criterion 4. Students' Performance							
S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)	
	Whether the percentage of						
1	students enrolled during the						
1.	period of assessment on						
	average basis is > 50%						
2.	Professional activities stated in						
	achieving PO and PSOs						

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?

Criterion 5. Faculty Information and Contributions							
S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)	
		, ,			1		
1.	Student faculty ratio is better						
1.	than or equal to 1:25						
2.	Innovative methods adopted by						
۷.	faculty in teaching and learning						
	a. Product Development						
	b. Consultancy						
3.	c. Manufacturing Contracts						
	d. Testing Contracts						
	Well defined performance						
4.	appraisal and development						
	system is implemented for						
	faculty						
_	Implementation of Career						
5.	Advancement Scheme						
C	Superport of Observations						

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?

Criterion 6. Facilities and Technical Support						
S. No.	Criteria	Availability (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	a. Adequate & well equipped: i. Classrooms ii. Workshops iii. Laboratories b. Qualified technical support staff to run all program specific					
	curriculum					
3.	a. Relevant additional facilities created     b. Utilized effectively for improving the quality of learning experience in laboratories					
4.	Maintenance and Safety measures in laboratories					
5.	Availability of Computer Facility and Language Lab					
Summary of Observations:						

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?

S. No.	Criteria	Availabili ty (Please tick)	Appropriateness/Observations	Adequacy of Documentary Evidences provided with SAR (Conclusive/Non Conclusive)	Documentary evidences to be verified	Points of interaction with the stakeholders (if any)
1.	a. Areas of weaknesses are identified     b. Measures to improve POs & PSOs attainment levels are implemented					
2.	a. Academic Audit Process b. Implementation					
3.	Improvement in: a. Success Index of students without backlog b. Academic Performance in final year c. Placement and Higher Studies					

- a. Any major shortcomings, observed in data provided in SAR?
- b. Significant weakness(es) observed in SAR which need to be examined during the visit:
- c. Significant strengths which need re-confirmation during the visit:
- d. Specific checking of the points to be done during the visit:
- e. Is the data provided here-in consistent with the information on the website of the Institute (if available on website)?



### **PRE-VISIT PREPARATIONS**

(To be filled by the Chairman)

Institute Name:	
Visit Dates:	<del>-</del>
Programs under Consideration:	2
1.	. 2
3	4
5	
Brief about Institutional Criteria (Criteria 8 & 9)	
(Assessment for Sub Criterion 9.3 is different for in	dividual programs)
Observations on the Evaluators Pre-Visit Assessm	nents

## **Advisory to the Chairman**

Chairman is requested to impress upon the Head of the institution to put on the website the Vision, Mission, Programme Educational Objectives as well the information on the institution website relevant to the stakeholders.