Part B-Program Observation Worksheet Institute Level to Be Assessed by Chairman.

Name of the Institution :

Name of the Program :

Criteri	on 8: First Year Acade	mics(50)										
SN	Sub Criteria	Max Marks	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks Awarded		Overall		Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/Reasons)				
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)					
8.1	First Year Student- Faculty Ratio (FYSFR)	5	For each year of assessment = (5 × 20)/ FYSFR (Limited to Max. 5) Average of Assessment of data in CAY, CAYm1 and CAYm2 *Note: If FYSFR is greater than 25, then assessment equal to zero					No.of students (approved intake No.of members(consid fractional load) FYSFR Average FYSFR 3 years Comments (if any	faculty ering	CAY	CAYm1	L CAYm2
8.2	Qualification of Faculty Teaching First Year Common Courses	5	A. Assessment of faculty qualification(5x+3y)/RF B. Average of Assessment of previous three academic years including current academic year.(Refer 8.2. for x, y and RF)					No.of Ph.D holders: No.of PG holders Assessment value of faculty qualification Average Assessment for past 3 years Comments (if any	CAY	CA	Ym1 (CAYm2

Signature of Chairman

SN	Sub Criteria	Max Marks		Marks Awarded		Overall		Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/Reasons)
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)	
8.3	First Year Academic Performance	10	Academic Performance = ((Mean of 1st Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10-point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks in First Year of all successful students/10)) x (successful students/number of students appeared in the examination) (Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the Second year)					Average API for past 3 years: Comments (if any):
8.4	Attainment of Course Outcomes of first year courses	10						
8.4.1	Describe the assessment processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of Course Outcomes of first year is based	5	A. List of assessment processes (1) B. The relevance of assessment tools used (4)					
8.4.2	Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all first-year courses	5	Verify the records as per the benchmark set for the courses (5)					
8.5.	Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses	20						
8.5.1	Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO	10	A. Process of computing POs/PSOs attainment level from the COs of related first year courses (5)					
			B. Verification of documents validating the above process (5)					
8.5.2	Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs	10	Appropriate actions taken (10)					
	Total of Criterion 8:	50	Overall Marks and Grade	for Crite	erion 8:			

SN	Sub Criteria	Max Marks	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks A	warded	0	verall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/Reasons)
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)	,
9.1	Mentoring system to help at individual level	5	Details of the mentoring system that has been developed for the students for various purposes and also state the efficacy of such system (5)					
9.2	Feedback analysis and reward/ corrective measures taken, if any	10	A. Methodology being followed for analysis of feedback and its effectiveness (5) B. Record of corrective measures taken (5)					
9.3	Feedback on facilities	5	Feedback collection, analysis and corrective action (5)					
9.4	Self-Learning	5	Scope for self-learning (2) Self-learning facilities, materials for learning beyond syllabus, Webinars, Podcast, MOOCs etc. and demonstrate its effective utilization (3)					
9.5	Career Guidance, Training, Placement	10	A. Availability of career guidance facilities (2) B. Counseling for higher studies (GATE/GRE, GMAT, etc.) (2) C. Pre-placement training (3) D. Placement process and support (3)					
9.6	Entrepreneurship Cell	5	A. Entrepreneurship initiatives (3) B. Data on students benefitted (2)					
9.7.	Co-curricular and Extracurricular Activities	10	A. Availability of sports and cultural facilities (3) B. NCC, NSS and other clubs (3) C. Annual students' activities (4)					
	Total of Criterion 9:	50	Overall Marks and Grad	le for Crit	erion 9:			

SN	Sub Criteria	Max Marks	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks /	Awarded	Overall		Observations of Evaluators Justifications/ Reasons)	(Provide
				Marks	Total marks	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)		
10.1	Organization, Governance and Transparency	55							
10.1.1	State the Vision and Mission of the	5	A. Availability of the Vision & Mission statements of the Institute (2)						
	Institute		B. Appropriateness/Relevance of the Statements (3)						
10.1.2	Availability of the Institutional Strategic Plan and its Effective Implementation and Monitoring	25	Availability of a 5-year Strategic Plan						
10.1.3	Governing body, administrative setup, functions of various bodies, service rules procedures,	10	A. List the Governing Body Composition, senate, and all other academic and administrative bodies; their memberships, functions, and responsibilities; frequency of the meetings; participation details of external members and attendance therein (4)						
	recruitment and Promotional policies.		B. The published service rules, policies and procedures with year of publication (3) C. Minutes of the meetings and action-taken reports (3)						
10.1.4	Decentralization in 5 working and grievance redressal mechanism	5	A. Organizational Structure, List of Administrative Committees and Administrative Heads who have been delegated powers for taking administrative decisions (1)						
			B. Specify the mechanism and composition of grievance redressal cell (1) C. Action taken report as per 'B' above (3)						
10.1.5	Delegation of financial powers	5	A. Financial powers delegated to the Principal, Heads of Departments and relevant in-charges (2)						
10.1.6		-	B. Demonstrate the utilization of financial powers for each of the assessment years (3)						
10.1.6	Transparency and availability of correct/ unambiguous	5	A. Information on the policies, rules, processes is to be made available on web site (2) B. Dissemination of the information about						
	information in public domain		student, faculty and staff (2) C. Mandatory disclosure as per AICTE/AISHE on						

Signature of Chairman

UG Engineering Tier-I

SN	Sub Criteria	Max Marks	Evaluation Guidelines	Marks	Awarded	Overall marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)	Observations of Evaluators Justifications/ Reasons)	(Provide
				Marks	Total				
10.2	Budget Allocation, Utilization, and Public Accounting at Institute level	15	Expenditure per student:		1	1		<u>'</u>	
10.2.1.	Adequacy of Budget allocation	5	A. Quantum of budget allocation for three years (3) B. Justification of budget allocated for three years (2)		-				
10.2.2	Utilization of allocated funds	5	Budget utilization for three years (5)						
10.2.3	Availability of the audited statements on the institute's website		Availability of Audited statements on website (5)						
10.3.	Program Specific Budget Allocation, Utilization		To be evaluated in consultation with program of	experts					
10.3.1.	Adequacy of budget allocation	10	Quantum of budget allocation for three years (5) Ustification of budget allocated for three years (5)		_				
10.3.2	Utilization of allocated funds	20	Budget utilization for three years (20)						
10.4	Library	20			•	•			
10.4.1	Quality of learning resource (hard/soft)	10	A. Availability of relevant learning resource including e-resource and digital library (7) B. Access to student (3)		-			·	
10.4.2.	Internet	10	A. Available bandwidth (4)						
			B. Wi Fi availability (2)						
			C. Internet access in labs, classrooms, library and offices of all Departments (2) D. Security mechanism (2)						
	Total of Criteria 10:	120	Overall Marks and Grad	e for Crit	erion 10:				