

PART A

Chairperson's Visit Report

Undergraduate Engineering Program TIER-II

Name of the Institution

Name of the Program	
Visit Dates	

NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION

NBCC Place, East Tower, 4th Floor, Bhisham Pitamah Marg, Pragati Vihar, New Delhi 110003

Tel: +91 112430620-22; 01124360654; www.nbaind.org

Name of the Chairperson: Designation:___ Program 1: Program evaluator 1 Name Organization: Program evaluator 2 Name Organization: Program 2: Program evaluator 1 Name Organization: Program evaluator 2 Name Organization: Program 3: Program evaluator 1 Name Organization: Name Program evaluator 2 Organization: Program 4: Program evaluator 1 Name Organization: Program evaluator 2 Name Organization: Program 5: Program evaluator 1 Name Organization: Program evaluator 2 Name Organization:

Team composition

Institute Details

Year of Establ	lishment:	
Physical Infra	structure and Ambience:	
Number of pr	ograms being run in the Institute	*:
(i)	UG	
	PG	
Total Number	r of Students:	
(i)	In UG programs -	
(ii)	In PG programs	_
Name of prog	rams applied for accreditation	
(i)		
(ii)		
(v)		

^{*}to be verified from SAR

Information for Evaluation

Award of Accreditation (TIER II (UG)

1. Accreditation for Six years will be accorded to a program on fulfillment of the following requirements:

- i. Program should score a minimum of 750 points in aggregate out of 1000 points with minimum score of 60 per cent in mandatory fields (i.e. criteria 4 to 6)
- ii. Number of available Ph.D. in the department should be greater than or equal to 30 per cent of the required number of faculty, averaged over two academic years, i.e., Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1).
- iii. The admissions in the UG program should be more than or equal to 50 per cent, averaged over three academic years (including lateral entry), i.e., Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1), Current Academic Year Minus Two (CAYM2) and Current Academic Year Minus Three (CAYM3)
- iv. Faculty Student Ratio in the department should be less than or equal to 1:20, averaged over three academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1) and Current Academic Year Minus Two (CAYM2).
- v. At least 2 Professors or 1 Professor and 1 Associate Professor on regular basis with Ph.D. degree should be available in the respective department for two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1).
- vi. HOD of the program under consideration possesses Ph.D. degree in the Current Academic Year (CAY).

2. Accreditation for Three years will be accorded to a program on fulfillment of the following requirements:

- i. Program should score a minimum of 600 points with at least 40 per cent marks in Criterion V (Faculty Information and Contributions).
- ii. The admissions in the UG program under consideration should be more than or equal to 50 per cent, averaged over three academic years (including lateral entry), i.e., Current Academic Year minus One (CAYm1), Current Academic Year minus Two (CAYm2) and Current Academic Year minus Three (CAYM3).

- iii. At least one Professor or one Associate Professor on regular basis with Ph.D. degree is available in the respective department for two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1).
- iv. The faculty student ratio in the department under consideration should be less than or equal to 1:25, averaged over three academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1) and Current Academic Year Minus Two (CAYM2).
- v. Number of Ph.D. available in the department should be greater than or equal to 10 per cent of the required number of faculty, averaged over two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1).

No Accreditation of the program

If the program fails to meet the criteria for award of accreditation for three years, it is awarded "Not Accredited" Status

A. De	A. Department/Program Specific Criteria:						
S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks			
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	60					
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	120					
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	120					
4.	Students' Performance	150					
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	200					
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	80					
7		FΛ					

Name of the Program 1:

		Marks	Awarded	
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	60		
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	120		
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	120		
4.	Students' Performance	150		
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	200		
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	80		
7.	Continuous Improvement	50		
	TOTAL	780		

B. Institute Level Criteria (to be filled by the Chairman):

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
8.	First Year Academics	50		
9.	Student Support Systems	50		
10.	Governance, Institutional	120		
	Support and Financial Resources	120		
	TOTAL	220		
	GRAND TOTAL (A +B)	1000		

^{*}Assessment for Criteria 8 (8.3, 8.4 &8.5) and 10 (10.3) is different for individual program.

Signature	
(Chairman)	

Marks given by Evaluators:

A.	A. Department/Program Specific Criteria:					
S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks		
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	60				
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	120				
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	120				
4.	Students' Performance	150				
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	200				
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	80				
7.	Continuous Improvement	50				
	ΤΟΤΔΙ	780				

Marks given by Evaluators:

Name of the Program 2:

2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	120		
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	120		
4.	Students' Performance	150		
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	200		
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	80		
7.	Continuous Improvement	50		
	TOTAL	780		

B. Institute Level Criteria (to be filled by the Chairman):

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
8.	First Year Academics	50		
9.	Student Support Systems	50		
10.	Governance, Institutional	120		
	Support and Financial Resources	120		
	TOTAL	220		
	GRAND TOTAL (A +B)	1000		

^{*}Assessment for Criteria 8 (8.3, 8.4 &8.5) and 10 (10.3) is different for individual program.

Signature	
(Chairman)	

A. S. No.	Department/Program Specific Control	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	60		
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	120		
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	120		
4.	Students' Performance	150		
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	200		
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	80		
7.	Continuous Improvement	50		
	TOTAL	780		

Marks given by Evaluators:

Name of the Program 3:_____

5. NO.	Criteria	Marks	Awarded	Remarks
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	60		
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	120		
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	120		
4.	Students' Performance	150		
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	200		
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	80		
7.	Continuous Improvement	50		
	TOTAL	780		

B. Institute Level Criteria (to be filled by the Chairman):

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
8.	First Year Academics	50		
9.	Student Support Systems	50		
10.	Governance, Institutional	120		
	Support and Financial Resources	120		
TOTAL		220		
	GRAND TOTAL (A +B)	1000		

^{*}Assessment for Criteria 8 (8.3, 8.4 &8.5) and 10 (10.3) is different for individual program.

Signature	
(Chairman)	

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	60		
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	120		
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	120		
4.	Students' Performance	150		
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	200		
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	80		
7.	Continuous Improvement	50		
	TOTAL	780		

A. Department/Program Specific Criteria:

Marks given by Evaluators:

Name of the Program 4:_____

S. No.	Criteria	Marks	Awarded	Remarks
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	60		
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	120		
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	120		
4.	Students' Performance	150		
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	200		
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	80		
7.	Continuous Improvement	50		
	TOTAL	780		

B. Institute Level Criteria (to be filled by the Chairman):

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
8.	First Year Academics	50		
9.	Student Support Systems	50		
10.	Governance, Institutional	120		
	Support and Financial Resources	120		
TOTAL		220		
	GRAND TOTAL (A +B)	1000		

^{*}Assessment for Criteria 8 (8.3, 8.4 &8.5) and 10 (10.3) is different for individual program.

Signature	
(Chairman)	

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks Marks Awarded		Remarks	
1.	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	60			
2.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	120			
3.	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	120			
4.	Students' Performance	150			
5.	Faculty Information and Contributions	200			
6.	Facilities and Technical Support	80			
7.	Continuous Improvement	50			
	TOTAL	780			

A. Department/Program Specific Criteria:

Marks given by Evaluators:

Name of the Program 5:

B. I	Institute Level Criteria (to be filled by the Chairman) :
------	---

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
8.	First Year Academics	50		
9.	Student Support Systems	50		
10.	Governance, Institutional	120		
	Support and Financial Resources	120		
TOTAL		220		
	GRAND TOTAL (A +B)	1000		

^{*}Assessment for Criteria 8 (8.3, 8.4 &8.5) and 10 (10.3) is different for individual program.

Signature	
(Chairman)	

Overall Observations

1.

S. No.	Name of the Program	Intake		•	Admissions	Student-Faculty Ratio
		CAY	CAYm1	CAYm2	Average of CAY, CAYm1 and CAYm2	Average of CAY, CAYm1 and CAYm2

• Also, see the evaluator's report for the above parameters and if you disagree with the same, kindly give your comment.

2. About the progress since last accreditation (to be filled for institutes who have applied for reaccreditation)

Kindly mention the changes made as recommended by NBA, since the previous visit.

3. Observation on general facilities and about the programs.

Kindly mention general observations about facilities like labs, library etc. and a general review about the programs.

- 1st year
- Academic Ambience
- Student Support Systems
- Strengths, Weaknesses, Concerns, Suggestions

4. Status of imbibing of outcome based accreditation. For Example:

- Formulation of PEOs, PSOs, COs and mappings carried out and implemented
- Methodology for assessing the attainment of outcomes
- Continual improvement process has been set up
- Stakeholders (especially the faculty, HOD, students etc.) awareness about the process