Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives (60)

		Evaluation Guidelines
Sub Criteria	Marks	
1.1. State the Vision and Mission of the Department and Institute	05	A. Availability of the Vision & Mission statements of the Department (1) B. Appropriateness/Relevance of the Statements (2) C. Consistency of the Department statements with the Institute statements (2) (Here Institute Vision and Mission statements have been asked to ensure consistency with the department Vision and Mission statements; the assessment of the Institute Vision and Mission will be done in Criterion 10)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
A. Vision & Mission Statements B. Corre	ectness fro	m definition perspective C. Consistency between Institute and Department statements
1.2. State the Program Educational Objectives (PEOs)	05	A. Listing of the Program Educational Objectives (3 to 5) of the program under consideration (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
A. Availability & correctness of the PEO	s stateme.	nts
1.3. Indicate where and how the Vision, Mission and PEOs are published and disseminated among stakeholders	10	 A. Adequacy in respect of publication & dissemination (2) B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (2) C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (6)
		EOs: Availability on Institute website under relevant program link; Availability at nt website, if Available; Availability in department level documents/course of study

B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementation				
C. Extent of Awareness Based on interaction with internal and exten	rnal stak	eholders		
1.4. State the process for defining the Vision and Mission of the Department, and PEOs of the program	25	 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision, Mission of the Department (10) B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (15) 		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective process implementation				
1.5. Establish consistency of PEOs with Mission of the Department	15	 A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (5) B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10) 		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix				
Total:	60			

Criterion 2: Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes (120)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
2.1. Program Curriculum	20	
2.1.1. State the process used to identify extent of compliance of the University curriculum for attaining the Program Outcomes(POs) & Program Specific Outcomes(PSOs), mention the identified curricular gaps, if any	10	 A. Process used to identify extent of compliance of university curriculum for attaining POs & PSOs (6) B. List the curricular gaps for the attainment of defined POs & PSOs (4) Note: In case all POs & PSOs are being demonstrably met through University Curriculum then 2.1.2 will not be applicable and the weightage of 2.1.1 will be 20

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

- A. Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures mapping/compliance of University Curriculum with the POs & PSOs; Identification of gaps; if any. Effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective process implementation
- B. Identified Curricular gaps and its Appropriateness

2.1.2. State the delivery details of	10	A. Steps taken to get identified gaps included in the curriculum.(e.g. letter to
the content beyond the syllabus for		university/BOS) (2)
the attainment of POs & PSOs		B. Delivery details of content beyond syllabus (5)
		c. Mapping of content beyond syllabus with the POs & PSOs (3)

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

A. Documentary evidence of steps taken at regular interval B. Delivered details – documentary evidence for at least one sample per assessment year to be verified C. Availability and appropriateness of Mapping table between contents delivered and Program outcomes/Program specific outcomes (Course outcomes)

$Evaluation\ Guidelines\ with\ indicative\ exhibits/context\ to\ be\ Observed/Assessed\ -\ SAR\ Tier-II\ (UG\ Engineering)$

First Time Accreditation

2.2. Teaching-Learning	100	
Processes		
2.2.1. Describe the Process	25	A. Adherence to Academic Calendar (3)
followed to		B. Use of various instructional methods and pedagogical initiatives (3)
improve quality of Teaching		C. Methodologies to support weak students and encourage bright students(4)
Learning		D. Quality of classroom teaching (Observation in a Class) (3)
		E. Conduct of experiments (Observation in Lab) (3)
		F. Continuous Assessment in the laboratory (3)
		G. Student feedback of teaching learning process and actions taken (6)

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

- A. Availability of Academic Calendar based on University academic calendar and its effective compliance
- B. Documentary evidence to support implementation of pedagogical initiatives such as real life examples, collaborative learning, ICT supported learning, interactive class rooms etc.
- C. Guidelines to identify weak and bright students; post identification actions taken; impact observed
- D. Class room ambience; efforts to keep students engaged (also to be verified during interaction with the students)
- E. Quality of laboratory experience with respect to conducting, recording observations, analysis etc.(also to be verified during interaction with the students)
- F. Internal Semester examination and internal marks thereof, Practical record books, each experiment assessment, final marks based on assessment of all the experiments and other assessments; if any
- G. Feedback format, frequency, analysis and actions taken (also to be verified during interaction with students)

2.2.2. Quality of internal semester 2	A. Pro	ocess for internal semester question paper setting and evaluation and
Question	eff	ective process implementation (5)
papers, Assignments and	B. Pro	ocess to ensure questions from outcomes/learning levels perspective (5)
Evaluation	C. Ev	idence of COs coverage in class test / mid-term tests (5)
	D. Qu	ality of Assignment and its relevance to COs (5)

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

- A. Process of internal semester question paper setting, model answers, evaluation and its compliance
- B. Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective
- C. Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes
- D. Assignments to promote self-learning, survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs

2.2.3. Quality of student projects	25	 A. Identification of projects and allocation methodology to Faculty Members (3) B. Types and relevance of the projects and their contribution towards attainment of POs and PSOs(5) C. Process for monitoring and evaluation (5) D. Process to assess individual and team performance (5) E. Quality of completed projects/working prototypes (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass A. Projects identification and guid		F. Evidences of papers published /Awards received by projects etc. (2) ion Process
B. Projects classification (application)	tion, prod ith progra	duct, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, am outcomes and program specific outcomes
D. Methodology(Appropriately doc contribution/understanding	umented)	to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective
E. Based on Projects demonstration F. Quality of place (host) where the		as been published/quality of competition in which award has been won
2.2.4. Initiatives related to industry interaction	15	 A. Industry supported laboratories (5) B. Industry involvement in the program design and partial delivery of any regular courses for students (5) C. Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	essed:	
A. Type of Industries, Type of Labs,B. Documentary evidenceC. Analysis and actions taken there	·	es, utilization and effectiveness

2.2.5. Initiatives related to industry	15	A. Industrial training/tours for students (3)	
internship/summer training		B. Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training	
		Assessment (4)	
		C. Impact analysis of industrial training (4)	
		D. Student feedback on initiative (4)	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	essed: (L	ocumentary evidence from A to D)	
A. & B. Type of Industries, planned or non-planned activity, objectives clearly defined, no. of students participated, relevant area of training, visit report documented C. & D. Impact analysis and feedback format, analysis and actions taken (also to be verified during interaction with students)			
Total:	120		

Criterion 3: Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes (120)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
3.1. Establish the correlation between the courses and the POs & PSOs	20	
3.1.1. Course Outcomes	05	A. Evidence of COs being defined for every course (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	sessed:	
A. Appropriateness of the statement	s shall be	seen for atleast one course each from 2^{nd} , 3^{rd} and final year of study
3.1.2. CO-PO/PSOs matrices of courses selected in 3.1.1 (six matrices)	05	A. Explanation of table to be ascertained (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	sessed:	
A. Mapping to be verified for atleast	two matri	ces
3.1.3. Program level Course-PO/PSOs matrix of ALL courses including first year courses	10	A. Explanation of tables to be ascertained (10)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass A. Mapping to be verified for atleas with the core courses are also to	t one cour	se per year of study; program outcomes and program specific outcomes getting mapped ed

3.2. Attainment of Course Outcomes	50		
3.2.1. Describe the assessment processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of Course Outcome is based	10	A. List of assessment processes (2) B. The quality /relevance of assessment processes & tools used (8)	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	sessed:		
A.& B. Evidence for appropriate asso	essment pr	cocesses including data collection, verification, analysis, decision making	
3.2.2. Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all courses with respect to set attainment levels	40	A. Verify the attainment levels as per the benchmark set for all courses (40)	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:			
A. Methodology to define set levels and its compliance; data collection, verification, analysis and decision making; details for one course per year of study to be verified			
3.3. Attainment of Program Outcomes and Program Specific Outcomes	50		
3.3.1.Describe assessment tools and processes used for assessing the attainment of each of the POs & PSOs	10	 A. List of assessment tools & processes (5) B. The quality/relevance of assessment tools/processes used (5) 	

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:			
A.&B. Direct and indirect assessment tools & processes; effective compliance; direct assessment methodology, indirect assessment formats-collection-analysis; decision making based on direct and indirect assessment			
3.3.2. Provide results of evaluation of each PO & PSO	40	A. Verification of documents, results and level of attainment of each PO/PSO (24) B. Overall levels of attainment (16 marks)	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:			
A. & B. Appropriate attainment level and documentary evidences; details for POs & PSOs attainment from core courses to be verified. Also atleast two POs & two PSOs attainment levels shall be verified			
Total	120		

First Time Accreditation

Criterion 4: Students' Performance (150)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
4.1. Enrolment Ratio (20) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Asset	20	 A. >= 90% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (20) B. >= 80% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (18) C. >= 70% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (16) D. >= 60% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (14) E. >= 50% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (12) F. Otherwise '0'.
A. B. & C. Data to be verified for each		sessment years
4.2. Success Rate in the stipulated period of the program	40	
4.2.1. Success rate without backlogs in any Semester/year of study Without Backlog means no compartment or failures in any semester/year of study	25	SI= (Number of students who graduated from the program without backlog)/(Number of students admitted in the first year of that batch and actually admitted in 2nd year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable) Average SI = Mean of success index (SI) for past three batches Success rate without backlogs in any year of study = 25 × Average SI
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Asse Data to be verified for each of the ass		ears
4.2.2. Success rate in stipulated period (actual duration of the program) [Total of with backlog + without backlog]	15	$SI=$ (Number of students who graduated from the program in the stipulated period of course duration)/(Number of students admitted in the first year of that batch and actually admitted in 2nd year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable) Average $SI=$ mean of success index (SI) for past three batches Success rate = $15 \times$ Average SI

I.3. Academic Performance in Third Year	15	Academic Performance = 1.5 * Average API (Academic Performance Index) API = ((Mean of 3 rd Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10 point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students in Third
		Year/10)) x (successful students/number of students appeared in the examination)
		Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the final year
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Asse	ssed:	<u> </u>
	_	
Data to be verified for atleast one of t	he assess	ement years
4.4. A. J	1.5	A - d-w-'- D-wf-war-a - I I - 15 * A ADI (Ad-w-'- D-wf-war-a - I - d-w-)
4.4. Academic Performance in Second Year	15	Academic Performance Level = $1.5 * Average API (Academic Performance Index)$ API = ((Mean of 2nd Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10)
Second Tear		point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful student sin Second
		Year/10)) x (successful students/number of students appeared in the examination)
		Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the Third year
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Asse	ssed:	Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the Tima year
200000000000000000000000000000000000000	55000	
Data to be verified for atleast one of th	he assessi	nent years
		·
4.5. Placement, Higher studies and	40	Assessment Points = $40 \times \text{average of three years of } [(x + y + z)/N] \text{ where, } x =$
Entrepreneurship		Number of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus
		recruitment
		y = Number of students admitted to higher studies with valid qualifying scores (GATE
		or equivalent State or National level tests, GRE, GMAT etc.)
		z = No. of students turned entrepreneur in engineering/technology

4.6. Professional Activities	20	
4.6.1. Professional societies / chapters and organizing engineering events	05	A. Availability & activities of professional societies/chapters (3) B. Number, quality of engineering events (organized at institute) (2) (Level - Institute/State/National/International)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Asse	ssed:	
Self-Explanatory		
4.6.2. Publication of technical magazines, newsletters, etc.	05	A. Quality & Relevance of the contents and Print Material (3) B. Participation of Students from the program (2)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Asse	ssed:	
A. Documentary evidence		
B. Documentary evidence - Students po	articipatio	on (also to be confirmed during interaction with the students)
4.6.3. Participation in inter-institute	10	A. Events within the state (2)
events by students of the program of		B. Events outside the state (3)
study (at other institutions)	7	C. Prizes/awards received in such events (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Asse.	ssea:	
A.B.& C. Quality of events and docume	entary evi	dence
Total:	150	

Criterion 5: Faculty Information and Contributions (200)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
5.1. Student-Faculty Ratio (SFR)	20	Marks to be given proportionally from a maximum of 20 to a minimum of 10 for average SFR
		between 15:1 to 25:1, and zero for average SFR higher than 25:1. Marks distribution is given as
		below:
		<= 15 - 20 Marks
		<= 17 - 18 Marks
		<= 19 - 16Marks
		<= 21 - 14 Marks
		<= 23 - 12 Marks
		<=25 - 10 Marks
		> 25 - 0 Marks

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

- *SFR* is to be verified considering the faculty of the entire department.
- No. of Regular faculty calculation considering **Regular faculty definition***; Faculty appointment letters, time table, subject allocation file, salary statements.
- No. of students calculation as mentioned in the SAR(please refer table under criterion 5.1)
- Faculty Qualification as per AICTE guidelines shall only be counted

*Note: All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except part-time or hourly based), will be considered. The contractual faculty appointed with any terminology whatsoever, who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters in corresponding academic year on full-time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the faculty student ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:

- 1. Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.
- 2. Shall be appointed on full time basis and worked for consecutive two semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
- 3. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit.

$Evaluation\ Guidelines\ with\ indicative\ exhibits/context\ to\ be\ Observed/Assessed\ -\ SAR\ Tier-II\ (UG\ Engineering)$

First Time Accreditation

5.2. Faculty Cadre Proportion	25	Cadre Proportion Marks =
		$ \left(\begin{array}{c} \underline{AF1} \\ RF1 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \underline{AF2} \\ RF2 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \underline{AF3} \\ RF3 \end{array}\right) \times 12.5 $ • If AF1 = AF2= 0 then zero marks • Maximum marks to be limited if it exceeds 25 (Refer calculation in SAR)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	essed:	
		d for cadre posts shall only be considered as per AICTE norms/guidelines)
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	-	ty qualification and experience and eligibility; Appointment/Promotion orders
• Cadre wise no. of faculty required	d as per A	AICTE guidelines (refer calculation in SAR)
7.2 F. H. O. 1100 d	0.5	TO 0.5 (10V - 4V) (T) 1
5.3. Faculty Qualification	25	$FQ = 2.5 \times [\{10X + 4Y\}/F]$ where X is no. of faculty with Ph.D., Y is no. of faculty with M.Tech, F is no. of faculty
		required to comply 1:20 Faculty Student ratio
		(no. of faculty and no. of students required to be calculated as per 5.1)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	sessed:	
Documentary evidence – Faculty		tion
	_	
5.4 Faculty Retention	25	A. $\geq 90\%$ of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2
		as base year (25)
		B. $\geq 75\%$ of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (20)
		C. ≥ 60% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2
		as base year (15)
		D. \geq 50% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2
		as base year (10)
		E. Otherwise (0)

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

• Faculty date of joining; atleast three month (July-April-May) salary statement for each of the assessment years

5.5. Innovations by the Faculty in	20	A. The work must be made available on Institute Website (4)
Teaching and Learning		B. The work must be available for peer review and critique (4)
		c. The work must be reproducible and developed further by other scholars (2)
		D. Statement of clear goals, use of appropriate methods, significance of results, effective
		presentation and reflective critique (10)

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

- A. Availability on Institute website; awareness among faculty and students of the department
- B. & C. Self -explanatory
- D. Innovations that contribute to the improvement of student learning, typically include use of ICT, instruction delivery, instructional methods, assessment, evaluation etc.

5.6 Faculty as participants in	15	For each year: Assessment = $3 \times \text{Sum}/0.5\text{RF}$
Faculty development /training		Average assessment over last three years starting from CAYm1 (Marks limited to 15)
activities /STTPs		

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

- Relevance of the training/development programme
- No. of days; No. of faculty

5.7. Research and Development	30	
5.7.1. Academic Research	10	 A. Number of quality publications in refereed/SCI Journals, citations, Books/Book Chapters etc. (6) B. PhD guided /PhD awarded during the assessment period while working in the institute (4)

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

- A. Quality of publications; publications copy
- B. Documentary evidence

	0.7	
5.7.2 Sponsored Research	05	Funded research from outside; Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3
		Amount > 20 Lakh - 5 Marks
		Amount >= 16 Lakh and <= 20 Lakh – 4 Marks
		Amount \geq 12 Lakh and $<$ 16 Lakh $-$ 3 Marks
		Amount ≥ 8 Lakh and < 12 Lakh $- 2$ Marks
		Amount $>= 4$ Lakh and $<$ 8 Lakh $-$ 1 Mark
		Amount < 4 Lakh -0 Mark
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	essed:	
• Documentary evidence; Funding	agency, A	Amount, Duration, Research progress; Outcome
5.7.3 Development Activities	10	A. Product Development
1		B. Research laboratories
		C. Instructional materials
		D. Working models/charts/monograms etc.
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	essed:	
Self explanatory		
5.7.4. Consultancy (From Industry)	05	Consultancy; (Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3)
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Amount > 10 Lakh - 5 Marks
I		Amount >= 8 Lakh and <= 10 Lakh - 4 Marks
		Amount ≥ 6 Lakh and < 8 Lakh $- 3$ Marks
		Amount \geq 4 Lakh and $<$ 6 Lakh $-$ 2 Marks
		Amount $>= 2$ Lakh and < 4 Lakh $- 1$ Mark
		Amount < 2 Lakh
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	essed:	VIIIII
		Amount, Duration, Research progress; Outcome
5.8.Faculty Performance	30	A. A well-defined performance appraisal and development system instituted for all the
Appraisal and Development		assessment years (10)
System (FPADS)		B. Its implementation and effectiveness (20)

A. Notified performance appraisal and development system; Appraisal Parameters; Awareness B. Implementation, Transparency and Effectiveness 5.9. Visiting/Adjunct/Emeritus Faculty etc. 10 Provision of Visiting / Adjunct/Emeritus faculty etc.(1) Minimum 50 hours per year interaction (per year to obtain three marks : 3 x 3 = 9) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: Documentary evidence Total: 200

Criterion 6: Facilities and Technical Support (80)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines		
6.1.Adequate and well equipped	30	A. Adequate well-equipped laboratories to run all the program-specific curriculum (20)		
laboratories, and technical		B. Availability of adequate technical supporting staff (5)		
manpower		C. Availability of qualified technical supporting staff (5)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	sessed:			
 B. & C. Self - explanatory 6.2. Additional Facilities created 25 A. Availability and relevance of additional facilities (10) 				
for improving the quality of		B. Facilities utilization and effectiveness (10)		
learning experience in		C. Relevance to POs and PSOs (5)		
Laboratories				
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:			
Self-explanatory				

6.3. Laboratories: Maintenance and overall ambience	10	Maintenance and overall ambience (10)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:				
Self-explanatory				
6.4. Project laboratory	05	Facilities & Utilization (5)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Ass	sessed:			
Self-explanatory				
6.5. Safety measures in laboratories	10	Safety measures in laboratories (10)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:				
Self-explanatory				
Total:	80			

$Evaluation\ Guidelines\ with\ indicative\ exhibits/context\ to\ be\ Observed/Assessed\ -\ SAR\ Tier-II\ (UG\ Engineering)$

First Time Accreditation

Criterion 7: Continuous Improvement (50)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
7.1. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of each of the POs and PSOs	20	 A. Documentation of POs and PSOs attainment levels (5) B. Identification of gaps/shortfalls (5) C. Plan of action to bridge the gap and its Implementation (10)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	:	
Documentary evidence in respect of each	ch of the F	POs
7.2 Academic Audit and actions taken during the period of Assessment	10	A. Assessment shall be based on conduct and actions taken in relation to continuous improvement (10)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	•	
A. Academic Audit assessment criteria, fre	quency, co	onduct mechanism, action plan based on audit, implementation and effectiveness
7.3. Improvement in Placement, Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship	10	Assessment is based on improvement in: (Refer placement index 4.5) A. Improvement in Placement numbers, quality, core hiring industry and pay packages (5) B. Improvement in Higher Studies in premier institutions(3) C. Improvement in number of Entrepreneurs (2) (Marks to be given proportionately considering nos. in the base year CAY <i>m</i> 3)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	•	
A. B. & C. Nos. in each year of the assessm	ent; impro	ovement considering CAYm3 as a base year
7.4. Improvement in the quality of students admitted to the program	10	A. Assessment is based on improvement in terms of ranks/score in qualifying state level/national level entrances tests, percentage Physics, Chemistry and Mathematics marks in 12th Standard and percentage marks of the lateral entry students
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	•	
A. Documentary evidence – list of students base year	admitted;	admission authority guidelines; ranks/scores; comparative status considering CAYm3 as a
Total:	50	

Criterion 8: First Year Academics (50)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
8.1. First Year Student- Faculty Ratio (FYSFR)	05	For each year of assessment = (5 × 20)/ FYSFR (Limited to Max. 5) Average of Assessment of data in CAY, CAYm1 and CAYm2 *Note: If FYSFR is greater than 25, then assessment equal to zero.
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed]	11016. If I I SI'K is greater than 23, then assessment equal to zero.
Exhibits/Comext to be Observed/Assessed	<i>i</i> :	
 No. of Regular faculty calculation con No. of students calculation as mention 	_	egular faculty definition and fractional load; Faculty appointment letters; Salary statements AR
8.2. Qualification of Faculty Teaching	05	A. Assessment of faculty qualification (5x + 3y)/RF
First Year Common Courses		B. Average of Assessment of previous three academic years including current academic year. (Refer 8.2. for x, y and RF)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed		
Documentary evidence – Faculty Qual		
8.3. First Year Academic Performance	10	Academic Performance = ((Mean of 1st Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10 point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks in First Year of all successful students/10)) x (successful students/number of students appeared in the examination) (Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the Second year)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed Data to be verified for atleast one of the a		
8.4. Attainment of Course Outcomes of first year courses	10	

$Evaluation\ Guidelines\ with\ indicative\ exhibits/context\ to\ be\ Observed/Assessed\ -\ SAR\ Tier-II\ (UG\ Engineering)$

First Time Accreditation

Outcomes of all first year courses Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence – Attainment for atleast 3 courses 8.5. Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses 8.5.1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO A. Process of computing POs/PSOs attainment level from the COs of related first year courses (5) B. Verification of documents validating the above process (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	8.4.1. Describe the assessment processes	05	A. List of assessment processes (1)
the evaluation of Course Outcomes of first year is based Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Direct and indirect assessment(if applicable), tools & processes; effective compliance; direct assessment methodology, indirect assessment formats-collection-analysis; decision making 3.4.2. Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all first year courses Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence — Attainment for atleast 3 courses 3.5. Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses 3.5.1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO B. Verification of documents validating the above process (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 3.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant PO PSO B. A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A. Appropriate actions taken (5)	<u>*</u>		B. The relevance of assessment tools used (4)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Direct and indirect assessment(if applicable), tools & processes; effective compliance; direct assessment methodology, indirect assessment formats-collection-analysis; decision making 8.4.2. Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all first year courses Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence — Attainment for atleast 3 courses 8.5. Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses 8.5.1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A Appropriate actions taken (5) A Appropriate actions taken (5)			
A. & B. Direct and indirect assessment(if applicable), tools & processes; effective compliance; direct assessment methodology, indirect assessment formats-collection-analysis; decision making 3.4.2. Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all first year courses Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence — Attainment for atleast 3 courses 3.5.1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Appropriate actions taken (5)	Outcomes of first year is based		
8.4.2. Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all first year courses Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence – Attainment for atleast 3 courses 8.5. Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses 8.5. I. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Appropriate actions taken (5) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	<i>l</i> :	
Outcomes of all first year courses Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence – Attainment for atleast 3 courses 8.5. Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses 8.5. 1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Appropriate actions taken (5) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	· ·), tools & processes; effective compliance; direct assessment methodology, indirect assessment
Outcomes of all first year courses Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence – Attainment for atleast 3 courses 8.5. Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses 8.5. 1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5. 2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs B. A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A. Appropriate actions taken (5) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	8.4.2. Record the attainment of Course	05	A. Verify the records as per the benchmark set for the courses (5)
courses Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence – Attainment for atleast 3 courses 8.5. Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses 8.5.1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Appropriate actions taken (5) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	Outcomes of all first year		,
A. Documentary evidence – Attainment for atleast 3 courses 8.5. Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses 8.5.1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO B. Verification of documents validating the above process (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POso PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	-		
8.5. Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses 8.5.1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POsos PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A. Appropriate actions taken (5)	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	<i>l</i> :	
8.5. Attainment of Program Outcomes of all first year courses 8.5.1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POsos PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A. Appropriate actions taken (5)	4 5	C .1 .	
Outcomes of all first year courses 3.5.1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 3.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	A. Documentary evidence – Attainment	for atleast	3 courses
Outcomes of all first year courses 8.5.1. Indicate results of evaluation of each relevant PO/PSO Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A. Appropriate actions taken (5) A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	8.5. Attainment of Program	20	
each relevant PO/PSO B. Verification of documents validating the above process (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	9		
each relevant PO/PSO B. Verification of documents validating the above process (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	8.5.1 Indicate results of evaluation of	15	A Process of computing POs/PSOs attainment level from the COs of related first year courses (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO		10	
A. & B. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO 8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	<i>l</i> :	
8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO			7/ P \$4
of evaluation of relevant POs /PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	A. & B. Documentary evidence for each t	eievani I C	<i>7/1 50</i>
/PSOs Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	8.5.2. Actions taken based on the results	05	A. Appropriate actions taken (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	of evaluation of relevant POs		
A. Documentary evidence for each relevant PO/PSO	/PSOs		
	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	<i>l</i> :	
Total: 50	A. Documentary evidence for each relevan	ıt PO/PSO	
1 Utal.	Total	50	
	i viai.	30	

Criterion 9: Student Support Systems (50)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
9.1. Mentoring system to help at individual level	05	A. Details of the mentoring system that has been developed for the students for various purposes and also state the efficacy of such system (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	d:	
A. Mentoring system terms of reference; is	mplementa	tion; effectiveness (also to be verified during interaction with the students)
9.2. Feedback analysis and reward /corrective measures taken, if any	10	A. Methodology being followed for analysis of feedback and its effectiveness (5) B. Record of corrective measures taken (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	<i>d</i> :	
A. Feedback questions, collection process	, analysis,	actions taken, effectiveness
9.3. Feedback on facilities	05	A. Feedback collection, analysis and corrective action (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	d:	
Self explanatory		
9.4. Self Learning	05	 A. Scope for self-learning (2) B. The institution needs to specify the facilities, materials for learning beyond syllabus, Webinars, Podcast, MOOCs etc. and demonstrate its effective utilization (3)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	d:	,,
Self explanatory		
9.5. Career Guidance, Training, Placement	10	 A. Availability of career guidance facilities (2) B. Counseling for higher studies (GATE/GRE, GMAT, etc.) (2) C. Pre-placement training (3)

		D. Placement process and support (3)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assess	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:			
Availability, implementation, effectiveness (also to be verified during interaction with the students)				
9.6. Entrepreneurship Cell	05	A. Entrepreneurship initiatives (1)		
		B. Data on students benefitted (4)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: Availability, implementation, effectiveness (also to be verified during interaction with the students)				
9.7. Co-curricular and Extra- curricular Activities	10	 A. Availability of sports and cultural facilities (3) B. NCC, NSS and other clubs (3) C. Annual students activities (4) 		
Availability, implementation, effectiveness (also to be verified during interaction with the students)				

Criterion 10: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial Resources (120)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
10.1. Organization, Governance and Transparency	40	
10.1.1.State the Vision and Mission of the Institute	05	A. Availability of the Vision & Mission statements of the Institute (2) B. Appropriateness/Relevance of the Statements (3)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	ed:	
	mber etc. A	Availability of statements on Institute website; Availability at Central facilities such as Library, vailability of one set of statements in each of the departments; Availability in Institute level
10.1.2. Governing body, administrative setup, functions of various bodies, service rules procedures, recruitment and promotional policies.	10	 A. List the Governing Body Composition, senate, and all other academic and administrative bodies; their memberships, functions, and responsibilities; frequency of the meetings; participation details of external members and attendance therein (4) B. The published service rules, policies and procedures with year of publication (3) C. Minutes of the meetings and action-taken reports (3)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
Self explanatory		
10.1.3. Decentralization in working and grievance redressal mechanism	10	 A. List the names of the faculty members who have been delegated powers for taking administrative decisions (1) B. Specify the mechanism and composition of grievance redressal cell (2) C. Action taken report as per 'B' above (7)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	ed:	

A. B. & C. Documentary evidence

10.1.4. Delegation of financial powers	10	A. Financial powers delegated to the Principal, Heads of Departments and relevant in-charges (3)
		B. Demonstrate the utilization of financial powers for each of the assessment years (7)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	ed:	
A. Circulars notifying financial powers		
B. Documentary evidence to exhibit uti	lization at e	each levels during assessment years
10.1.5. Transparency and availability	05	A. Information on the policies, rules, processes is to be made available on web site (2)
of correct/unambiguous		B. Dissemination of the information about student, faculty and staff (3)
information in public domain		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	ed:	
A. & B. Website and Documentary evide	псе	
10.2. Budget Allocation, Utilization,	30	
and Public Accounting at		
Institute level		
10.2.1. Adequacy of Budget allocation	10	A. Quantum of budget allocation for three years (5)
		B. Justification of budget allocated for three years (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	ed:	
A. Budget formulation, finalization and	approval p	rocess
B. Requirement – allocation –adequacy		
2. Requirement and analysis	justijusti	the response of the response o
10.2.2. Utilization of allocated funds	15	A. Budget utilization for three years (15)
10.2.2. Children of anotated funds	15	1. Dauget adilization for the four (10)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	od∙	
Lambus/Comean to be Observed/Assesse	u.	
A. Balance sheet; effective utilization; random verification for atleast two of the three assessment years		
71. Bulance sheet, circuite utilization, ia	ngom vem	reation for adoust two of the times assessment years
10.2.3. Availability of the audited	05	A. Availability of Audited statements on website (5)
statements on the institute's	05	71. Tivalidothey of Addited statements on website (3)
website		
weusite		

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assess	sed:	
A. Website		
10.3. Program Specific Budget Allocation, Utilization	30	To be evaluated in consultation with the Program Experts
10.3.1. Adequacy of budget allocation	10	A. Quantum of budget allocation for three years (5)B. Justification of budget allocated for three years (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assess	red:	
A. Budget formulation, finalization and B. Requirement – allocation –adequacy		
10.3.2. Utilization of allocated funds	20	A. Budget utilization for three years (20)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assess	red:	
A. Balance sheet; effective utilization; re	andom verific	cation for atleast two of the three assessment years
10.4. Library and Internet	20	
10.4.1. Quality of learning resources (hard/soft)	10	 Availability of relevant learning resources including e-resources and Digital Library (7) Accessibility to students (3)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assess	red:	1

Availability; Adequacy; Effectiveness (Also to be verified during interactions with the faculty and students)

10.4.2. Internet	10	A. Available bandwidth (4)	
		B. Wi Fi availability (2)	
		C. Internet access in labs, classrooms, library and offices of all Departments (2)	
		D. Security mechanism (2)	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:			
Availability as per AICTE norms; Adequacy; Effectiveness (Also to be verified during interactions with the faculty and students)			
Total:	120		