# Evaluation Guidelines with Indicative Exhibits / Context to be Observed/Assessed - SAR Tier – I (UG Engineering) 2<sup>nd</sup> Cycle Accreditation

# **Criterion 1: Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes (100)**

	Sub Criteria	Marks	EvaluationGuidelines
1.1.	State the Vision, Mission of the Department and Institute and Program Educational Objectives		<ul> <li>A. Availability of the Vision and Mission statements of the Department (1)</li> <li>B. Appropriateness/Relevance of the Statements (1)</li> <li>C. Consistency of the Department statements with the Institution statements (1)</li> <li>D. PEO statements and their appropriateness (2)</li> <li>(Here, Institution Vision and Mission statements have been asked to ensure consistency with the department Vision and Mission statements)</li> </ul>
	11. (6		•

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

A. Vision & Mission Statements B. Correctness from definition perspective C. Consistency between Institution and Department statements D. PEO statements and their appropriateness.

1.2. Indicate where the Vision, Mission and	A. Adequacy in respect of publication and dissemination (1)
PEOs are published and disseminated	B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (1)
among stakeholders	C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholders (3)

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

# A. Adequacy

**Department Vision, Mission and PEOs:** Availability on Institute website under relevant program link; Availability at department notice boards, HoD Chamber, department website; Availability in department level documents/course of study

# B. Process of dissemination

Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementation

# C. Extent of Awareness

Based on interaction with internal and external stakeholders

1.3. Establish consistency of PEOs with Mission of the Department	05	<ul> <li>A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (2)</li> <li>B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (3)</li> </ul>		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	- 1			
A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mi	ssion eler	nents B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix		
1.4. Establish the correlation between the courses and the POs & PSOs	10	<ul> <li>A. Evidence of COs being defined for every course (2)</li> <li>B. Availability of COs embedded in the syllabi (2)</li> <li>C. Explanation of Course Articulation Matrix table to be ascertained (2)</li> <li>D. Explanation of Program Articulation Matrix tables to be ascertained (4)</li> </ul>		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed				
<ul> <li>A. Appropriateness of the statements shall be seen for at least one course each from 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and final year of study</li> <li>B. Mapping to be verified for at least two matrices</li> <li>C. Mapping to be verified for at least one course per year of study; program outcomes and program specific outcomes getting mapped with the core courses are also to be verified</li> <li>1.5. Attainment of Course Outcomes</li> </ul>				
1.5.1. Describe the assessment tools and processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of Course Outcome is based	5	A. List of assessment processes (1)  B. The quality /relevance of assessment processes & tools used (4)		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed				
A.& B. Evidence for appropriate assessment pro	cesses in	cluding data collection, verification, analysis, decision making		
1.5.2. Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all courses with respect to set attainment levels	20	A. Verify the attainment levels as per the benchmark set for all courses (20)		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed				
A. Methodology to define set levels and its comp	oliance; a	ata collection, verification, analysis and decision making; details for one course peryear		

of study to be verified

2

1.6. Attainment of Program Outcomes and Program Specific Outcomes	25	
1.6.1. Describe assessment tools and processes used for measuring the attainment of each POs and PSOs	5	A. List of assessment tools & processes (2)  B. The quality/relevance of assessment tools/processes used (3)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed		
A.&B. Direct and indirect assessment tools & p collection-analysis; decision making based on a		effective compliance; direct assessment methodology, indirect assessment formats- indirect assessment
1.6.2. Provide results of evaluation of eachPO & PSO	20	A. Verification of documents, results and level of attainment of each PO/PSO (15)  B. Overall levels of attainment (5)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed  A. & B. Appropriate attainment level and docu	mentary e	vidences; details for POs & PSOs attainment from core courses to be verified. Also,
at-least two POs & two PSOs attainment levels		
1.7. Evidence of Solving Complex Engineering Problems	25	A. Verification of documents related to mini projects/major projects/ term projects/ independent study/ problem-based learning approach adopted or any other activities conducted specifically which reflect the solving of complex engineering problems (25)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	<u> </u>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		cts/major projects/ term projects/ independent study/ problem-based learning approach
adopted or any other activities conducted sp such projects and their mapping towards at		which reflect the solving of complex engineering problems. Evidence of evaluation of of POs / PSOs
Total	100	

**Criterion 2: Program Curriculum and Teaching–Learning Processes (75)** 

Marks	EvaluationGuidelines		
15			
5	Refer to SAR: Expectation in 2.1.1 is that the curriculum is well balanced & appropriate for a degree program. (5)		
ed			
10	Process used to identify extent of compliance of curriculum for attaining POs & PSOs (10)		
Program Specific Outcomes (PSOs)  Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed  Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures mapping/compliance of Curriculum with the POs & PSOs.			
60	ensures mapping, compilate of curriculum min me 1 os & 1 sos.		
15	<ul> <li>A. Adherence to Academic Calendar (2)</li> <li>B. Pedagogical initiatives (2)</li> <li>C. Methodologies to support weak students and encourage bright students (2)</li> <li>D. Quality of classroom teaching (Observation in a Class) (2)</li> <li>E. Conduct of experiments (Observation in Lab) (2)</li> <li>F. Continuous Assessment in the laboratory (3)</li> <li>G. Student feedback of teaching learning process and action taken (2)</li> </ul>		
	15 5 ed 10 ed cess which 60		

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

- A. Availability of Academic Calendar based on University academic calendar and its effective compliance
- B. Documentary evidence to support implementation of pedagogical initiatives such as real life examples, collaborative learning, ICT supported learning, interactive class rooms, etc.
- C. Guidelines to identify weak and bright students; post identification actions taken; impact observed
- D. Class room ambience; efforts to keep students engaged (also to be verified during interaction with the students)
- E. Quality of laboratory experience with respect to conducting, recording observations, analysis etc. (also to be verified during interaction with the students)
- F. Internal Semester examination and internal marks thereof, Practical record books, each experiment assessment, final marks based on assessment of all the experiments and other assessments; if any
- G. Feedback format, frequency, analysis and actions taken (also to be verified during interaction with students)

2.2.2. Quality of end semester examination, internal semester question	15	A. Process for internal semester question paper setting and evaluation and effective process implementation (3)
papers, assignments and evaluation		<ul> <li>B. Process to ensure questions from outcomes/learning levels perspective (2)</li> <li>C. Evidence of COs coverage in class test / mid-term tests (5)</li> <li>D. Quality of Assignment and its relevance to COs (5)</li> </ul>

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

- A. Process of internal semester question paper setting, model answers, evaluation and its compliance
- B. Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective
- C. Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes
- D. Assignments to promote self-learning, survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs

mapping with the east		
2.2.3. Quality of student projects	15	A. Identification of projects and allocation methodology to Faculty Members (1)
		B. Types and relevance of the projects and their contribution towards attainment of POs
		and PSOs (2)
		C. Project related to Industry (2)
		D. Process for monitoring and evaluation (2)
		E. Process to assess individual and team performance (2)
		F. Quality of completed projects/working prototypes (4)
		G. Evidences of papers published /Awards received by projects, etc. (2)

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed				
cost, standards and mapping with process.  C. Evidence for industry related project.  D. Continuous monitoring mechanism of E. Methodology (Appropriately docume project as well as collective contribute.  F. Based on Projects demonstration. Ev. G. Quality of place (host) where the paper.	product, rese rogram outconts through the and evaluation nted) to asses tion/understant didence for conter has been p	arch, review, etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, mes and program specific outcomes fir participation n as individual contribution/understanding of the anding		
2.2.4. Initiatives related to industry interaction/industry/internship /summer training	7 10	<ul> <li>A. Industry supported laboratories (2)</li> <li>B. Industry involvement in the Curriculum design and in partial delivery of any regularcourses for students (2)</li> <li>C. Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment (2)</li> <li>D. Impact analysis of industry institute interaction &amp; industrial training and actions takenthere of (2)</li> <li>E. Student feedback on initiative (2)</li> </ul>		
documented	ctives, utilizat	ion and effectiveness objectives clearly defined, no. of students participated, relevant area of training, visitreport and actions taken (also to be verified during interaction with students)		
2.2.5. Initiatives towards the New Education Policy	5	A. Initiatives towards the New Education Policy (5)		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asses  A. Documentary evidence to support imple		f initiatives		
Total:	75			

**Criterion 3: Students' Performance (75)** 

Sub Criteria	Marks	EvaluationGuidelines
3.1. Enrolment Ratio	15	<ul> <li>A. &gt;= 90% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during theprevious three academic years starting from current academic year (15)</li> <li>B. &gt;= 80% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during theprevious three academic years starting from current academic year (12)</li> <li>C. &gt;= 70% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during theprevious three academic years starting from current academic year (10)</li> <li>D. &gt;= 60% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during theprevious three academic years starting from current academic year (08)</li> <li>E. Otherwise '0'.</li> </ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed  A. B. & C. Data to be verified for each of the		nt years
3.2. Success Rate in the stipulated period of the program	15	
3.2.1. Success rate without backlog in any Semester/year of study	10	SI= (Number of students who graduated from the program without repeat(s) in any course)/(Number of students admitted in the first year of that batch and actually admitted in 2 <sup>nd</sup> year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable)
Without Backlog means: No repeat(s) in any course in any semester/year of study		Average SI = Mean of success index (SI) for past three batches Success rate without backlogs in any year of study= 10 * Average SI
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	1	
Data to be verified for each of the assessmen	it years	
3.2.2. Success rate in stipulated period of study (actual duration of the program) [Total of with backlog + without	5	SI= (Number of students who graduated from the program in the stipulated period of course duration) / (Number of students admitted in the first year of that batch and actually admitted in 2nd year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable)
backlog]		Average SI = Mean of success index (SI) for past three batches Success rate = 5 * Average SI

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed:					
Data to be verified for each of the assessment	Data to be verified for each of the assessment years				
		also total marks scored will be 15 as both 4.2.1 & 4.2.2 will be applicable simultaneously.			
3.3. Academic Performance in Second	5	Academic Performance Level = 0.5 * Average API (Academic Performance Index)			
Year					
		<b>API</b> = ((Mean of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10-point			
		scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students in SecondYear/10))			
		* (successful students/number of students appeared in the examination)			
		Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the Third year			
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed					
Data to be verified for at least one of the asses	sment ve	pars			
Data to be verifica jor at teast one of the asses					
3.4. Academic Performance in Third	5	Academic Performance Level = 0.5 * Average API (Academic Performance Index)			
Year		ADV (0.5 cord v. G. 1 D.) and cord v. G. 1 D.) are v. G. 1 D.) and cord v. G. 1 D.) and cord v. G. 1 D.) and cord v. G. 1 D.) are v. G. 1 D.) and cord v. G. 1 D.) are v. G. 1 D.) and cord v. G. 1 D.) are v. G. 1 D.) and cord v. G. 1 D.) are v. G. 1 D.)			
Tear		$\mathbf{API} = ((\text{Mean of } 3^{\text{rd}} \text{ Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10 point})$			
		scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students in Third Year/10))			
		* (successful students/number of students appeared in the examination)			
		Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the final year			
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed					
Data to be verified for at least one of the assessment years					
3.5.Placement, Higher studies and	15	Assessment Points = $15 *$ Average of three years of [ $(X + Y + Z)/N$ ] where,			
Entrepreneurship		X =Number of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus recruitment			
		Y = Number of students admitted to higher studies with valid qualifying scores (GATE)			
		or equivalent State or National level tests, GRE, GMAT etc.)			
		Z = No. of students turned entrepreneur in engineering/technology			
		N =Total number of final year students			
		1			

	_	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	!	
Data to be verified for at-least one of the ass	assmant v	pare.
Data to be verified for al-least one of the ass	<b>20</b>	
3.6. Professional Activities	20	
3.6.1. Professional societies / chapters and	05	A. Availability & activities of professional societies/chapters (3)
organizing engineering events	0.5	B. Number, quality of engineering events (organized atinstitute) (2)(Level: Institution
organizing engineering events		/ State / National / International)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	1	·
Exhibits / Comexi to be Observed / Assessed	Į.	
Self-Explanatory		
3.6.2. Publication of technical magazines,	05	A. Quality & Relevance of the contents and Print Material (3)
newsletters, etc.		B. Participation of Students from the program (2)
A. Documentary evidence  B. Documentary evidence - Students particip		o to be confirmed during interaction with the students)
3.6.3. Participation in inter-institute events	5 5	A. Events within the state (1)
by students of the program of study		B. Events outside the state (1)
(at other institutions)		C. Prizes/awards received in such events (3)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	!	
A.B.& C. Quality of events and documentary	evidence	
3.6.4. Participation in national/international	5	A. Participation in national competitive events (2)
competitive events by students of the		B. Participation in international competitive events (3)
program of study		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	!	
A.B.& C. Quality of events and documentary		<u> </u>
Total:	75	

### **Criterion 4: Faculty Information and Contributions (100)**

Sub Criteria	Marks	EvaluationGuidelines
4.1. Student-Faculty Ratio (SFR)	15	Marks to be given proportionally from a maximum of 15 to a minimum of 10 for average SFR between 15:1 to 25:1, and zero for average SFR higher than 25:1. Marks distribution is given as below:  SFR <=1515 marks  <=1714 marks
		<=1714 marks <=1913 marks <=2112 marks <=2311 marks <=2510 marks >2500 marks

#### Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

- 1. *SFR* is to be verified considering the faculty of the entire department.
- 2. No. of faculty calculation considering faculty definition\*; Faculty appointment letters, time table, subject allocation file, salary statements.
- 3. No. of student's calculation as mentioned in the SAR (please refer table under criterion 4.1)
- 4. Faculty Qualification as per AICTE guidelines shall only be counted
- \* Note: All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except part-time or hourly based), will be considered. The contractual faculty appointed with any terminology whatsoever, who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters in corresponding academic year on full-time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the faculty student ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:
- 1. Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.
- 2. Shall be appointed on full time basis and worked for consecutive two semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
- 3. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit.

4.2. Faculty Cadre Proportion	10	Cadre Proportion Marks =
		$\left(\begin{array}{c} \underline{AF1} \\ RF1 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \underline{AF2} \\ RF2 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \underline{AF3} \\ RF3 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \underline{AF3} \\ $
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	d	

(Faculty Qualification and experience required for cadre posts shall only be considered as per AICTE norms/guidelines)

- Cadre wise No. of faculty available; Faculty qualification and experience and eligibility; Appointment/Promotion orders
- Cadre wise no. of faculty required as per AICTE guidelines (refer calculation in SAR)

4.3. Faculty Qualification	10	FQ = (10X + 4Y)/F  where
		X is no. of faculty with Ph.D.,
		Y is no. of faculty with M. Tech.,
		F is no. of faculty required to comply 1:20 Faculty Student ratio (no. of faculty and no.
		of students required to be calculated as per 4.1)

# Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed

• Documentary evidence – Faculty Qualification

4.4 Faculty Retention	5	<ul> <li>A. ≥ 90% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (5)</li> <li>B. ≥ 75% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (4)</li> <li>C. ≥ 60% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base</li> </ul>
-----------------------	---	---

		year (3) D. ≥ 50% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (2) E. Otherwise (0)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	d	
• Faculty date of joining; at-least three mo	onths (Jul	y-Nov –April salary statement for each of the assessment years
4.5. Faculty competencies in correlation to Curriculum	5	<ul> <li>A. Specialization</li> <li>B. Research Publications</li> <li>C. Course Developments</li> <li>D. Other relevant points</li> </ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assesse	d	
4.6. Innovations by the Faculty in Teaching and Learning	5	<ul> <li>A. Statement of clear goals, use of appropriate methods, significance of results, effective presentation (2)</li> <li>B. Availability of work on the Institute Website (1)</li> <li>C. Availability of work for peer review and critique (1)</li> <li>D. Reproducibility and Reusability by other scholars for further development (1)</li> </ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assesse	d	
<ul><li>A. Availability on Institute website; awaren</li><li>B. &amp; C. Self-explanatory</li><li>D. Innovations that contribute to the improvassessment, evaluation etc.</li></ul>		ng faculty and students of the department student learning, typically include use of ICT, instruction delivery, instructional methods,
4.7. Faculty as participants in Faculty development /training activities /STTPs	10	For each year: Assessment = 2* (Sum/0.5 * RF) Average assessment over last three years starting from CAYm1 (Marks limited to <b>10</b> )
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assesse	d	

4.8. Research and Development	30	
4.8.1. Academic Research	10	<ul> <li>A. Number of quality publications in refereed/SCI Journals, citations, Books/Book Chapters etc. (7)</li> <li>B. PhD awarded during the assessment period while working in the institute (3)</li> </ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	ssed	
A. Quality of publications; publications B. Documentary evidence	сору	
4.8.2 Sponsored Research	10	Funded research from outside; Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2and CAYm3 Amount $>$ 50 Lakhs $-$ 10 Marks, Amount $>$ 40 and $\le$ 50 Lakhs $-$ 07 Marks, Amount $>$ 30 and $\le$ 40 Lakhs $-$ 05 Marks, Amount $\ge$ 15 and $\le$ 30 Lakhs $-$ 03 Marks, Amount $\le$ 15 Lakhs $-$ 0 Marks
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	ssed	
• Documentary evidence; Funding age	ncy, Amount	, Duration, Research progress; Outcome
4.8.3 Development Activities	5	A. Product Development B. Research laboratories C. Working models/charts/monograms, etc.
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	ssed	
Self –explanatory		

4.8.4. Consultancy (From Industry)	5	Consultancy; Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2and CAYm3 $Amount \ge 10 \text{ Lakhs} - 05 \text{Marks},$ $Amount < 10 \text{ and} \ge 8 \text{ Lakhs} - 04 \text{ Marks},$ $Amount < 8 \text{ and} \ge 6 \text{ Lakhs} - 03 \text{ Marks},$ $Amount < 6 \text{ and} \ge 4 \text{ Lakhs} - 02 \text{ Marks},$ $Amount < 4 \text{ and} \ge 2 \text{ Lakhs} - 01 \text{ Marks},$	
		Amount < 2 Lakhs– 0 Mark	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed	d		
Documentary evidence; Funding agency	, Amount	, Duration, Research progress; Outcome	
4.9. Faculty Performance Appraisal and Development System (FPADS)	5	<ul> <li>A. A well-defined performance appraisal and development system instituted for allthe assessment years (2)</li> <li>B. Its implementation and effectiveness (3)</li> </ul>	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed			
A. Notified performance appraisal and dev B. Implementation, Transparency and Effe	-	t system; Appraisal Parameters; Awareness	
4.10. Visiting/Adjunct/Emeritus Faculty, etc.	5	<ul> <li>A. Provision of Visiting /Adjunct/Emeritus faculty etc. (1)</li> <li>B. Minimum 50 hours per year interaction (2 marks each for last two years: 2 * 2 = 4)</li> </ul>	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed			
A. & B. Documentary evidence			
Total:	100		

# **Criterion 5: Resources (75)**

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines	
5.1. Adequate and well-equipped laboratories, and technical manpower	25	<ul> <li>A. Adequate well-equipped laboratories to run all the program-specific curriculum (15)</li> <li>B. Availability of adequate and qualified technical supporting staff (10)</li> </ul>	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed			
A. Adequacy; well-equipped laboratories; B. Self – explanatory			
<b>5.2.</b> Laboratories: Maintenance and overall ambience	5	Maintenance and overall ambience (5)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assesse	ed		
Self-explanatory			
5.3. Safety measures in laboratories	5	Safety measures in laboratories (5)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assesse	ed		
Self –explanatory			
5.4. Project laboratory	15	Facilities & Utilization (15)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assesse	ed		
Self –explanatory			
5.5. Feedback analysis and reward /	5	A. Feedback collected for all courses: YES/NO (1)	
corrective measures taken, if any		B. Feedback collection process (1)	
		C. Average Percentage of students who participate (1)	
		D. Feedback analysis process (1)	
		E. Number of corrective actions taken (1)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed			

Self –explanatory		
5.6. Program Specific Budget Allocation, Utilization	10	To be evaluated in consultation with the Program Experts
5.6.1. Adequacy of budget allocation	5	<ul><li>A. Quantum of budget allocation for three years (3)</li><li>B. Justification of budget allocated for three years (2)</li></ul>
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	ssed	
A. Budget formulation, finalization and B. Requirement – allocation –adequacy		
5.6.2. Utilization of allocated funds	5	A. Budget utilization for three years (5)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	ssed	
A. Balance sheet; effective utilization; ra	ndom verific	cation for at least two of the three assessment years
5.7. Library and Internet	10	
5.7.1. Quality of learning resources (hard/soft)	6	A. Availability of relevant learning resources including e-resources and Digital Library, (4) B. Accessibility to students (1) C. Support for self-learning (1)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	ssed	
Availability; Adequacy; Effectiveness (Also to be verified during interactions w	vith the facul	ty and students)
5.7.2. Internet	4	A. Available bandwidth and Wi Fi availability (2)  B. Internet access in labs, classrooms, library and offices of all Departments and Security mechanism (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	ssed	
Availability as per AICTE norms; Adequa		
(Also to be verified during interactions w Total:	75 75	ty ana stuaents)

# **Criterion 6: Continuous Improvement (75)**

Sub Criteria	Marks	EvaluationGuidelines		
6.1. Actions taken based on the results	30	A. Documentary evidences of POs and PSOs attainment levels (15)		
of evaluation of each of the POs and		B. Identification of gaps/shortfalls (05)		
PSOs Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	end	C. Plan of action to bridge the gap and its Implementation (10)		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	еи			
Documentary evidence in respect of each of	of the POs			
6.2 Academic Audit and actions taken during the period of Assessment	10	A. Assessment shall be based on conduct and actions taken in relation to continuous improvement (10)		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed			
A. Academic Audit assessment criteria, fre	equency, co	onduct mechanism, action plan based on audit, implementation and effectiveness		
6.3. Improvement in Placement, Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship	15	Assessment is based on improvement in: (Refer placement index 3.5)  A. Improvement in Placement numbers, quality, core hiring industry and pay packages (5)		
		B. Improvement in Higher Studies in premier institutions (5)		
		C. Improvement in number of Entrepreneurs (5)		
		(Marks to be given proportionately considering nos. in the base year CAYm3)		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess				
A. B. & C. Nos. in each year of the assessm		ovement considering CAYm3 as a base year		
6.4. Improvement in the quality of students admitted to the program	10	A. Assessment is based on improvement in terms of ranks/score in qualifying state level/national level entrances tests, percentage Physics, Chemistry and Mathematics marks in 12th Standard and percentage marks of the lateral entry students (10)		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assess	ed			
A. Documentary evidence – list of students CAYm3 as a base year	admitted;	admission authority guidelines; ranks/scores; comparative status considering		
6.5. Remedial action taken on the observations made during last	10	A. New initiatives taken/New Facilities Introduced/Improvement made after last visit (10)		

accreditation visit /New initiatives				
taken/New Facilities Introduced				
/Improvement made after last visit.				
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed				
Documentary evidence				
Total:	75			