### PART A



# **Evaluator's Visit Report**

### **Postgraduate Engineering Program**

Name of the Institution
Name of the Program
Visit Dates

#### **NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION**

NBCC Place, East Tower, 4th Floor, Bhisham Pitamah Marg, Pragati Vihar, New Delhi 110003 Tel: +91 112430620-22; 01124360654; www.nbaind.org

### **Program Evaluator Summary**

#### Overview

The Expert 1	team of National	Board of Accreditation (	(NBA) conducted a	three day accreditation visit
from	to	<name institution<="" of="" td=""><td>)&gt;&gt;<u> </u></td><td>to evaluate PG Engineering</td></name>	)>> <u> </u>	to evaluate PG Engineering
program	<< <u>name of t</u>	he program>>		
Dro vicit mo	oting of the eve	ert team was held on a	<b>^+</b>	+0
			·	
_	•	<u>-</u>		pers, based on review of Self-
Assessment	: Report (SAR) an	d the pre-visit evaluation	n reports.	
During the v	visit, the visiting t	eam met with Head of t	the Institution/Dea	n
The briefing	g on the institution	n was given by	a	nd on the program was given by
the (Name	of the respective	Head of the Departme	nt/Program Coordi	<i>nator)</i> . The respective program
evaluators	also visited the	various facilities of the	e program. Apart	from comprehensive review of
documenta	l evidences perta	ining to various accredi	itation criteria, the	visiting team also held meeting
and discussi	ions with the foll	owing stakeholders (kind	dly tick).	
Co o ultra	_		Δ I :	_
Faculty	ш		Alumni	Ш
Employers			Parents	
Staff memb	ers		Students	
The Program	n Evaluation Tea	m found that (general fil	ndings about the pi	rogram to be mentioned)

#### **Program Details**

	Name o	f the Program				
Year of						
Commencement						
	Year	Sanctioned Intake		Actual Admitted		
	CAY (20 20)					
	CAY m1 (20 20)					
Student	CAY m2 (20 20)					
Student	Total Students in the Programme 1st & 2nd Year		·			
	Averaged for CAY, CAYm1 and CAYm2					
			CAY	CAYm1	CAYm2	
		Professor				
	Pogular	Associate				
	Regular	professor				
		Assistant				
		professor				
		Professor				
	Contractual	Associate				
		professor				
Faculty		Assistant				
(Attach a Copy of		professor				
faculty list	No. of PhD. available in the dept.					
compared with	Student - Faculty ratio averaged					
Time Table)	over CAY, CAYm1 and CAYm2				4	
			CAY	CAY	/m1	
		Professor				
	Name of the faculty with the					
	domain specific qualification					
	for the program under					
	consideration	Associate				
		Professor				
		No. of years		ı		
Previous	First accreditation	accredited for				
accreditation ( if		With effect from				
any)	Previous accreditation	No. of years accredited for				
		With effect from				

CAY: Current Academic Year

CAYm1: Current Academic Year minus 1= Current Assessment Year

CAYm2: Current Academic Year minus 2= Current Assessment Year minus 1

**Note:** All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except Part-Time), will be considered. The contractual faculty (doing away with the terminology of visiting/adjunct faculty, whatsoever) who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters in the corresponding academic year on full time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the Faculty Student Ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:

- 1. Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.
- 2. Shall be appointed on full time basis and worked for consecutive two semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
- 3. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit

# Explicit observations about the program

(Please use additional sheets if necessary to elaborate)

Pro	Program title Strengths:		
Str			
1.			
2.			
۷.			
3.			
4.			
5			
J			
•			
W	eakness/Areas of improvement:		
1.			
2.			
2			
3.			
4.			
•			
5.			

	Deficiencies:			
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
Ot	her Observations, if any:			
	her Observations, if any:			
1.				
1.				
1.				
<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>2.</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> </ol>				

#### **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

#### AWARD OF ACCREDITATION FOR THE PG ENGINEERING PROGRAMS

#### **Accreditation for 6 years:**

- i. Program should score greater than or equal to 375 with 60 per cent in each criteria.
- ii. Number of available Ph.D. in the department should be greater than or equal to 30 per cent of the required number of faculty averaged over two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1)
- iii. Faculty student ratio in the department under consideration should be less than or equal to 1:20 averaged over three academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1) and Current Academic Year Minus Two (CAYM2)
- iv. At least two Professors or one professor and one associate professor(on regular basis) with a Ph.D. degree having expertise in the domain of the Program under consideration are available for two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1)

#### **Accreditation for 3 years:**

- i. Program should score greater than or equal to 300 with 50 per cent in Criterion–IV (Faculty Contribution).
- ii. Corresponding UG Program shall be accredited by NBA.
- iii. In case of Tier I, the corresponding UG Engineering program should have been granted at least 3 Compliances (Y) for the SAR with 9 criteria and 4 Compliances (Y) for the SAR with 10 criteria or In case of Tier II, the corresponding UG Engineering program should have been granted at least 650 marks out of 1000.
- iv. At least two Professors or one professor and one associate professor (on regular basis) with Ph.D. qualification with expertise in the domain of the Program under consideration should be available for two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1)
- v. The department should have at least two faculty having Ph.D. qualification for two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1)
- vi. Faculty Student Ratio in the department under consideration should be less than or equal to 1:25 averaged over three academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYM1) and Current Academic Year Minus Two (CAYM2)

#### **No Accreditation**

If the program fails to meet the criteria for award of accreditation for three years, it is awarded "Not Accredited" Status

### **Department/Programme Specific Criteria:**

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
1.	Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes	125		
2.	Program Outcomes and Course Outcomes	75		
3.	Students' Performance	75		
4.	Faculty Contributions	75		
5.	Laboratories and Research Facilities	75		
6.	Continuous Improvement	75		
	TOTAL	500		

Signature	Signature
(Program Evaluator 1)	(Program Evaluator 2)

# Declaration of Conformity with evaluator's report by the Team Chair

I agree with the observations of the program evaluators on each criterion.  Or			
I agree with most of the observations of the program evaluators. However, I have following comments to make on certain criteria:			
Criteria	Comments		
Signature (Chairperson)			