

SELF ASSESSMENT REPORT (SAR) FORMAT POSTGRADUATE ENGINEERING PROGRAMS

NBCC Place, 4th Floor East Tower, Bhisham Pitamah Marg,
Pragati Vihar New Delhi 110003
P: +91(11)24360620-22, 24360654
Fax: +91(11) 24360682
F-mail: membersecretary@phaind.org

E-mail: membersecretary@nbaind.org Website: www.nbaind.org (May, 2017)

SAR Contents

Section	Item Page No.		
PART A	Institutional Information		
PART B	Departmental Information		
	Criteria Summary		
1	Program Curriculum and Teaching – Learning Processes		
2	Program Outcomes and Course Outcome		
3	Students' Performance		
4	Faculty Contributions		
5	Laboratories and Research Facilities		
6	Continuous Improvement		
Annexure-I	Program Outcomes (POs)		
PART C	Declaration by the Institution		

PART A: Institutional Information

1. Name ar	L. Name and Address of the Institution:			
2. Name ar	nd Address of the Affiliating U	Iniversity, if applicable:		
3. Year of e	establishment of the Instituti	on:		
4. Type of	the Institution:			
Ins	stitute of National Importance			
Un	niversity			
De	eemed University			
Au	itonomous			
Aff	filiated Institution			
An	ny other (Please specify)			
Note:				
In case of Au	utonomous and Deemed Universi	ty, mention the year of grant of status by the authority		
5. Ownersh	iip Status:			
	entral Government			
St	ate Government			
Go	overnment Aided			
Se	elf-financing			
Tro	ust	一		
So	ociety			
Se	ection 25 Company	吕		
	ny Other (Please specify)	吕		
	de Details:	_		
6. Vision of	the Institution:			
7. Mission o	of the Institution:			

8. Details of all the programs offered by the institution:

S. No	Progra m Name	Name of the Department	Year of Start	Intake	Increase/D ecrease in intake, if any	Year of Increase /Decrea se	AICTE Approva I	Accreditation Status*

Table: A.8.1

* Write applicable one:

- Applying first time
- Granted provisional accreditation for two/three years for the period (specify period)
- Granted accreditation for 5/6 years for the period (specify period)
- Not accredited (specify visit dates, year)
- Withdrawn (specify visit dates, year)
- Not eligible for accreditation
- Eligible but not applied

Note: 1. Add rows as needed. 2. Separate tables for UG and PG Programs to be prepared.

9. Programs to be considered for Accreditation vide this application

S. No.	Program Name	Current Year Sanctioned Intake	Current Year Admission (in Nos.)
1			
N.			

Table: A.9.1

10. Contact Information of the Head of the Institution and NBA coordinator, if designated:

i.	Name:	
	Designation:	
	Mobile No:	
	Email id:	
ii.	NBA coordinator, if designated	
	Name:	
	Designation:	
	Mobile No:	
	Email id:	

PART B: Departmental Information

- 1. State the Vision and Mission of the Department
- 2. Justification of consistency of the Department Vision and Mission with the Institute Vision and Mission
- 3. Details of all UG & PG Programs offered by the department

S. No.	PG Program Name	Corresponding UG Program/Department Name	Current Year Sanctioned Intake	Current year Admission (in Nos.)
1	For ex. Structural Engineering	Civil Engineering		
2	VLSI	Electronics		
N.				

Table: B.3.1

4. State the Program Educational Objectives (PEOs) for the PG program(s) under consideration for accreditation.

Criteria Summary

Name of the program_____

Criteria No.	Criteria	Mark/Weightage
1.	Program Curriculum and Teaching –Learning Processes	125
2.	Program Outcomes and Course Outcomes	75
3.	Students' Performance	75
4.	Faculty Contributions	75
5.	Laboratories and Research Facilities	75
6.	Continuous Improvement	75
	Total	500

ITERION 1 Program Curriculum and Teaching -Learning Processes	125	l
---	-----	---

1.1. Program Curriculum (35)

1.1.1. State the process for designing the program curriculum (10)

(Describe the process that periodically documents and demonstrates how the program curriculum is evolved or give the process of gap analysis, whichever is applicable, considering POs)

1.1.2. Structure of the Curriculum (5)

Course Course Code Title	Total Number of contact hours					
		Lecture (L)	Tutorial (T)	Practical# (P)	Total Hours	Credits
Total						

Table: 1.1.2

1.1.3. State the components of the curriculum (10)

Program curriculum grouping based on course components

Course Component	Curriculum Content (% of total number of credits of the program)	Total number of contact hours	Total number of credits
Program Core			
Program Electives			
Open Electives			
Mini Projects			
Internships/Seminars			
Major Project			
Any other (Specify)			
Total number of Credits			

Table: 1.1.3

[#] Seminars, project works may be considered as practical

1.1.4. Overall quality and level of program curriculum (10)

In case of affiliated institutions following criteria will be applicable for Program Curriculum:

- 1.1.1. State the process used to identify extent of compliance of the University curriculum for attaining the Program Outcomes (10)
- 1.1.2. Appropriateness of the gaps identified (5)
- 1.1.3. Actions taken to bridge the gap (10)
- 1.1.4. Overall quality and level of program curriculum (10)

Note: In case program is able to demonstrate the compliance of university curriculum in attaining the program outcomes, then the marks distribution will be as indicated for non-affiliated institutions.

- 1.2. Teaching-Learning Processes (90)
 - 1.2.1. Quality of end semester examination, internal semester question papers, assignments and evaluation (20)
 - 1.2.2. Quality of student projects (30)

Quality of the project is measured in terms of

- Very clear and concise objectives
- Very clear methodology, articulated using technical terms indicating all steps and tools
- Cites substantial current and good quality literature
- Clarity in design/setting up of experiment.
- Benchmarks used / Assumptions made
- Interpretation of results and justification thereof and validity of the results presented.
- Overall presentation of the report
- 1.2.3. Initiatives related to industry interaction including industry internship/summer training (10)
- 1.2.4. Participation of Industry professionals in curriculum development, as examiners, in major projects (10)
- 1.2.5. Quality of laboratory work given (20)

CRITERION 2	Program Outcomes and Course Outcomes	75
-------------	--------------------------------------	----

2.1. Establish the connect between the courses and POs (15)

POs as defined in Annexure-I

POs	Courses*
PO1	
PO2	
PO3	
POn	

Table: 2.1.1

2.2. Attainment of Program Outcomes (60)

- 2.2.1. Describe the assessment tools and processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of Program Outcome is based (20)
- 2.2.2. POs attainment levels with observations (40)

POs Attainment

Course	PO1	PO2	PO3	••••	
C101					
C102					
C201					
Attainment levels					

Table: 2.2.2

Observations on attainment levels for each of the POs.

^{*}Mention the courses relevant to the PO

CRITERION 3	Students' Performance	75
CKITEKION 5	Stadents renormance	73

Item (Information to be provided cumulatively for all the shifts with explicit headings, wherever applicable)	CAY	CAYm1	CAYm2 (LYG)	CAYm3 (LYGm1)	CAYm4 (LYGm2)
Sanctioned intake of the program (N)					
Total number of students admitted through GATE $(N1)$					
Total number of students admitted through PG Entrance and others (N2)					
Total number of students admitted in the Program $(N1 + N2)$					

Table: 3.1

CAY – Current Academic Year

CAYm1- Current Academic Year minus1= Current Assessment Year

CAYm2 - Current Academic Year minus2=Current Assessment Year minus 1

LYG - Last Year Graduate

LYGm1 – Last Year Graduate minus 1

LYGm2 - Last Year Graduate minus 2

Year of entry	N1 + N2	Number of students who have successfully graduated			
	(As defined above)	I Year	II Year		
CAY					
CAYm1					
CAYm2 (LYG)					
CAYm3 (LYGm1)					
CAYm4 (LYG <i>m</i> 2)					

Table: 3.2

3.1. Enrolment Ratio through GATE (20)

Enrolment Ratio = N1 /N; N is sanctioned intake; N1 is number of students admitted through GATE.

Item (Students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the last three years staring from Current Academic Year)	Marks
>=80% students enrolled through GATE	20

>=60% students enrolled through GATE	16
>=50% students enrolled through GATE	12
>=40% students enrolled through GATE	8
>=20% students enrolled through GATE	6
<20% students enrolled through GATE	0

Table: 3.1.1

3.2. Success Rate in the stipulated period of the program (20)

S.I. = Number of students completing program in stipulated duration/ Number of students admitted in first year of same batch;

Average S.I.= Mean of SI for past 3 Batches

Assessment points = 20 X Average S.I.

3.3. Placement, Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship (20)

Assessment Points = 20 × average placement; N is the total no. of students admitted in first year

Item	CAYm1	CAYm2	CAYm3
No. of students placed in companies or Government Sector (x)			
No. of students pursuing Ph.D. / JRF/ SRF(y)			
No. of students turned entrepreneur in engineering/technology (z)			
x + y + z =			
Placement Index : $(x + y + z)/N$	P1	P2	Р3
Average placement= (P1 + P2 + P3)/3			
Assessment Points = 20 × average placement			

Table: 3.3.1

3.3.1 a. Provide the placement data in the below mentioned format with the name of the program and the assessment year:

Programs Name and Assessment Year								
S.no.	Name of the student placed	Enrollment no.	Name of the Employer	Appointment letter reference no. with date				

Table 3.3.1a

3.4. Professional Activities (15)

3.4.1. Student's participation in Professional societies/chapters and organizing engineering events (5)

(Provide relevant details)

3.4.2. Student's publications (10)

(List the publications along with the names of the authors and publishers, etc.)

CRITERION 4	Faculty Contributions	75
-------------	-----------------------	----

ıber	Qu	ıalificatio	on	ution		d as	ıtion				emic Res		(Y/N) Currently ')	
Name of the Faculty Member	Degree (highest degree)	University	Year of Graduation	Association with the Institution	Designation	Date on which Designated as Professor/ Associate Professor	Date of Joining the Institution	Department	Specialization	Research Paper Publications	Ph.D. Guidance	Faculty Receiving Ph.D. during the Assessment Years	Currently Associated (Y/ Date of Leaving (In case Cur Associated is ("No")	Nature of Association (Regular/Contract)

Note: Please provide details for the faculty of the department, cumulative information for all the shifts for all academic years starting from current year in above format in Annexure - II.

4.1. Student-Faculty Ratio (SFR) (10)

(To be calculated at Department Level)

No. of UG Programs in the Department (n):	
No. of PG Programs in the Department (m):	
No. of Students in UG 2 nd Year= u1	
No. of Students in UG 3 rd Year= u2	
No. of Students in UG 4 th Year= u3	
No. of Students in PG 1st Year= p1	
No. of Students in PG 2 nd Year= p2	

No. of Students = Sanctioned Intake + Actual admitted lateral entry students

(The above data to be provided considering all the UG and PG programs of the department)

S=Number of Students in the Department = UG1 + UG2 + ... + UGn + PG1 + ...PGm**F** = Total Number of Regular Faculty Members in the Department (excluding first year faculty)

Student Teacher Ratio (STR) = S/F

Year	CAY	CAYm1	CAYm2
u1.1			
u1.2			
u1.3			
UG1	u1.1+u1.2+u1.3	u1.1+u1.2+u1.3	u1.1+u1.2+u1.3
u _n .1			
u _n .2			
u _n .3			
UGn	u _n .1+u _n .2+u _n .3	u _n .1+u _n .2+u _n .3	u _n .1+u _n .2+u _n .3
p1.1			
p1.2			
PG1	p1.1+p1.2	p1.1+p1.2	p1.1+p1.2
pm.1			
pm.2			
PGm	pm.1+pm.2	pm.1+pm.2	pm.1+pm.2
Total No. of Students in the Department (S)	UG1 + UG2 + +UGn + PG1 +PGm	UG1 + UG2 + +UGn + PG1+ + PGm	UG1 + UG2 + +UGn + PG1+ + PGm
No. of Faculty in the	F1	F2	F3
Department (F)	CED4 - C4 /E4	CED2 C2 /E2	CED2 C2 /E2
Student Faculty Ration (SFR)	SFR1=S1/F1	SFR2= S2/F2	SFR3= S3/F3
Average SFR	SFR=(SFR1+SFR2+SFI	R3)/3	

Table 4.1

 Marks to be given proportionally from a maximum of 10 to a minimum of 05 for average SFR between 15:1 to 25:1, and zero for average SFR higher than 25:1. Marks distribution is given as below:

< = 15	-	10 Marks
< = 17	-	09 Marks
< = 19	-	08 Marks
< = 21	-	07 Marks
< = 23	-	06 Marks
< = 25	-	05 Marks
>25.0	-	0 Marks

Note: Consideration of Contractual Faculty means:

- All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except part-time or hourly based), will be considered. The contractual faculty appointed with any terminology whatsoever, who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters in corresponding academic year on full-time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the faculty student ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:
 - 1. Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.
 - 2. Shall be appointed on full time basis and worked for consecutive two semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
 - 3. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit.

- Faculty to be calculated Department wise as per the format given in SAR; Faculty appointment letters, time table, subject allocation file, salary statements and randominteraction in person.
- No. of student's calculation as mentioned in the SAR (please refer table under criterion 4.1)
- Faculty Qualification as per AICTE guidelines shall only be counted

4.1.1. Provide the information about the regular and contractual faculty as per the format mentioned below:

	Total number of regular faculty in the department	Total number of contractual faculty in the department
CAY	the department	menty in the department
CAYm1		
CAYm2		

Table 4.1.1

4.2. Faculty competencies in the area of Program specialization (30)

(Relevant faculty information, in the area of Program specialization)

4.2.1. Faculty name and specialization for the program under consideration (10)

Name of the faculty	Relevant Area of Specialization		
	CAY	CAYm1	

Table 4.2.1.1

4.2.2. Faculty Research Publication (10)

Name of the faculty	Academic Research					
	Number of quality publications in refereed/SCI Journals, citations, Books/Book Chapters etc.			Ph.D. guided /Ph.D. awarded during the assessment period while working in the institute		
	CAYm1	CAYm2	CAYm3	CAYm1	CAYm2	CAYm3

Table 4.2.2.1

4.2.3. Faculty Development work (10)

4.3. Faculty as participants in Faculty development/training activities/STTPs (5)

(Mention details such as program title, description, duration, resource person, type of training, training methodology, participants, etc.). Mention details separately for the programs organized and the programs participated outside the institution)

4.4. Research and Development (30)

4.4.1. Sponsored Research (15)

Funded research from outside; considering faculty members contributing to the program: (Provide a list with Project Title, Funding Agency, Amount and Duration)

Funding Amount (Cumulative for CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3):

Amount \geq 50 Lacs 15 Marks, Amount \geq 40 and <50 Lacs 10 Marks, Amount \geq 30 and <40 Lacs 5 Marks, Amount \geq 15 and <30 Lacs 2 Marks, Amount <15 Lacs 0 Mark

4.4.2. Consultancy (from Industry) (15)

Considering faculty members contributing to the program:

(Provide a list with Project Title, Funding Agency, Amount and Duration)

Funding Amount (Cumulative for CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3):

Amount \geq 10 Lacs 15 Marks, Amount <10 and \geq 8 Lacs 10 Marks, Amount <8 and \geq 6 Lacs 8 Marks, Amount <6 and \geq 4 Lacs 5 Marks, Amount <4 and \geq 2 Lacs 2 Marks, Amount <2 Lacs 0 Marks

CRITERION 5	Laboratories and Research Facilities	75
-------------	--------------------------------------	----

5.1. Adequate and well equipped laboratories in area of Program specialization (30)

Sr. No.	Name of the Laboratory	Specialized Equipment Name	Equipment details	Utilization details from the perspective of PO attainment
1.				
N.				

Table 5.1.1

5.2. Research facilities / center of excellence (30)

Sr. No.	Name of the Facility	Specialized Equipment Name	Equipment details	Utilization details from the perspective of PO attainment
1.				
N.				

Table 5.2.1

5.3. Access to laboratory facilities, training in the use of equipment (15)

CRITERION 6	Continuous Improvement	75
-------------	------------------------	----

6.1. Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of each of the POs (25)

Identify the areas of weaknesses in the program based on the analysis of evaluation of POs attainment levels. Measures identified and implemented to improve POs attainment levels for the assessment years including curriculum intervention, pedagogical initiatives, support system improvements, etc.

Actions taken, to be mentioned here.

6.2. Improvement in Quality of Projects (10)

6.3. Improvement in Placement, Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship (10)

Assessment is based on improvement in:

- Placement: number, quality placement, core industry, pay packages etc.
- Higher studies: admissions for pursuing Ph. D in premier institutions
- Entrepreneurs

6.4. Improvement in the quality of students admitted to the program (10)

Assessment is based on improvement in terms of ranks/score in GATE examination

Gate Score	CAY	CAYm1	CAYm2
Highest Score			
Minimum Score			

Table 6.4.1

6.5. Improvement in quality of paper publication (10)

6.6. Improvement in laboratories (10)

Annexure-I

Program Outcomes

PO1: An ability to independently carry out research /investigation and development work to solve practical problems

PO2: An ability to write and present a substantial technical report/document

PO3: Students should be able to demonstrate a degree of mastery over the area as per the specialization of the program. The mastery should be at a level higher than the requirements in the appropriate bachelor program

Note: Program may add up to three additional POs.

Declaration

The head of the institution needs to make a declaration as per the format given below:

I undertake that, the institution is well aware about the provisions in the NBA's accreditation manual concerned for this application, rules, regulations, notifications and NBA expert visit guidelines in force as on date and the institute shall fully abide by them.

It is submitted that information provided in this Self-Assessment Report is factually correct. I understand and agree that an appropriate disciplinary action against the Institute will be initiated by the NBA in case any false statement/information is observed during pre-visit, visit, post visit and subsequent to grant of accreditation.

Date:	Signature & Name

Place: Head of the Institution with seal