## PART A

# Evaluator's Visit Report 

## Master of Computer Applications (MCA)

Program

Name of the Institution

Name of the Program

## Visit Dates

## NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION

NBCC Place, East Tower, 4th Floor, Bhisham Pitamah Marg, Pragati Vihar, New Delhi 110003
Tel: +91 112430620-22; 01124360654; www.nbaind.org

## Program Evaluator Summary

The Expert team of National Board of Accreditation (NBA) conducted a three-day accreditation visit from
$\qquad$ to $\qquad$ at
to evaluate Master of Computer Applications (MCA) Program.

During the visit, the visiting team met with Head of the Institution/Director/Principal/Dean
$\qquad$ The briefing on the Institution was given by
$\qquad$ and on the program was given by

Apart from comprehensive review of documental evidences pertaining to various accreditation criteria, the visiting team also held meeting and discussions with the following stakeholders (kindly tick).

|  | $\square$ | Alumni | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Faculty | $\square$ |  |  |
| Employers | $\square$ | Parents | $\square$ |
| Staff members | $\square$ | Student | $\square$ |

The Program Evaluation Team found that (general findings about the program to be mentioned)

## Program Details



CAY: Current Academic Year;
CAYm1: Current Academic Year minus 1= Current Assessment Year
CAYm2: Current Academic Year minus 2= Current Assessment Year minus 1.

## Note:

All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except part-time or hourly based), will be considered. The contractual faculty appointed with any terminology whatsoever, who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters in corresponding academic year on full-time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the faculty student ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:

1. Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.
2. Shall be appointed on full time basis and worked for consecutive two semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
3. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit

* Faculty to be calculated Department wise as per the format given in SAR; Faculty appointment letters, time table, subject allocation file, salary statements and random interaction in person.
* No. of student's calculation as mentioned in the SAR (please refer table under criterion 5.1)
* Faculty Qualification as per AICTE guidelines shall only be counted.


## Explicit observations about the program

(Please use additional sheets if necessary to elaborate)

## Program title:

$\qquad$

## Strengths:

1. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$
4. $\qquad$
5. $\qquad$

Concerns:

1. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$
4. $\qquad$
5. $\qquad$

Weakness/Areas of improvement:

1. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$
4. $\qquad$
5. $\qquad$

## Deficiencies:

1. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$
4. $\qquad$
5. $\qquad$

Other Observations, if any:

1. $\qquad$
2. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$
4. $\qquad$
5. $\qquad$

## Evaluation Criteria AWARD OF ACCREDITATION FOR THE MASTER OF COMPUTER APPLICATIONS(MCA) PROGRAM <br> Accreditation for 6 years:

i. Program should score a minimum of 750 points out of 1000 with minimum score of 60 percent in mandatory fields (i.e. criteria 4 to 6 ).
ii. The admissions in the MCA program under consideration should be more than or equal to 50 percent, averaged over three academic years, i.e., Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year minus One (CAYm1), Current Academic Year minus Two (CAYm2).
iii. Number of Ph.D. available in the program should be greater than or equal to $30 \%$ of the required number of faculty, averaged over two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYm1).
iv. The institution should have two Professor(s) or one Professor and one Associate Professor with Ph.D. qualification (on regular basis) for Master of Computer Application program being offered by the Department/ Institution for two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYm1).
v. The Faculty- Student Ratio in the Department under consideration should be less than or equal to 1:20, averaged over three academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYm1) and Current Academic Year Minus Two (CAYm2)
vi. The HOD should possess Ph.D. degree for the programs under consideration in Current Academic Year (CAY).

## Accreditation for 3 years:

i. Program should score a minimum of 600 points with at least $40 \%$ in criteria $V$ (Faculty Information and Contributions)
ii. Number of Ph.Ds available in the program should be greater than or equal to $10 \%$ of the required number of faculty, averaged over two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYm1).
iii. The admissions in the MCA program under consideration should be more than or equal to 50 percent, averaged over three academic years, i.e., Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year minus One (CAYm1), Current Academic Year minus Two (CAYm2).
iv. The institution should have one Professor or one Associate Professor with Ph.D. qualification (on regular basis) for Master of Computer Application program being offered by the Department/Institution for two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYm1).
v. The Faculty- Student Ratio in the department under consideration should be less than or equal to 1:25, averaged over three academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYm1) and Current Academic Year Minus Two (CAYm2).

## No Accreditation

* If the program fails to meet the criteria for award of accreditation for three years, it is awarded "Not Accredited" Status


## Programme Specific Criteria:

| S.N. | Criteria | Max. <br> Marks | Marks <br> Awarded | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Vision, Mission and Program <br> Educational Objectives | 60 |  |  |
| 2 | Program Curriculum and Teaching <br> -Learning Processes | 130 |  |  |
| 3 | Course Outcomes and Program <br> Outcomes | 100 |  |  |
| 4 | Students' Performance | 180 |  |  |
| 5 | Faculty Information and <br> Contributions | 200 |  |  |
| 6 | Facilities and Technical Support | 80 |  |  |
| 7 | Continuous Improvement | 50 |  |  |
|  |  | 800 |  |  |

[^0]Signature
(Program Evaluator 2)

## Declaration of Conformity with Evaluator's Report by the Team Chair

I agree with the observations of the program evaluators on each criterion.
Or
I agree with most of the observations of the program evaluators. However, I have followingcomments to make on certain criteria:

| Criteria |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

[^1]
[^0]:    Signature
    (Program Evaluator 1)

[^1]:    Signature (Chairperson)

