PART A



Evaluator's Visit Report

Name of the Institution Name of the Program Visit Dates

NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION

NBCC Place, East Tower, 4th Floor, Bhisham Pitamah Marg, Pragati Vihar, New Delhi 110003 Tel: +91 112430620-22; 01124360654; www.nbaind.org

Program Evaluator Summary

Overview

	_to	at			
		to evaluate	UG Architecture Progra	m.	
During the visit, the vis	iting team me	t with Head of the	Institution/Dean		
The briefing on the In	stitution was	given by			and or
:he program was giver	by				
			ences pertaining to vari	ious accreditation criteria	a, the visiting
Faculty			Alumni		
Employers			Parents		
Staff members			Student		
The Program Evalua	tion Team fou	ınd that (general fi	ndings about the progr	am to be mentioned)	
					<u></u>

Program Details

Name of the U	G Program:							
Year of								
Commencement								
		Sanctioned Intake of the program as per CoA/ admitted in 1st year (S1)					% of students admitted in (S1/S)	
	CAY (2020)							
	CAYm1 (2020)							
No. of Students admitted in last	CAYm2 (2020)							
3 years starting from CAY, CAYm1, CAYm2	Average percentage of students admitted over 3 years (Refer Table 4a in the SAR)							
				CA	lΥ	CAYm1	CAYm2	
				(20	20)	(2020)	(2020)	
	No. of Regular	No. of	With Ph.D					
	faculty members	Professors	degree Without					
	for past 3 years		Ph.D degree					
	(Defense settente E.O. in	No. of						
	(Refer criteria-5.2 in the SAR)	Associate	degree					
No. of Faculty	the SAIC)	Professors	Without					
working		No. of	Ph.D degree Assistant					
(Attach a Copy		Professors	Assistant					
of faculty list	No. of Contractual	No. of	With Ph.D					
compared with Time Table)	faculty members	Professors	degree					
Time Table)	for past 3 years		Without					
	(Refer criteria-5.2 in	No. of	Ph.D degree With Ph.D					
	the SAR)	Associate	With Ph.D degree					
		Professors	Without					
			Ph.D degree					
		No. of	Assistant					
		Professors						
	No. of Ph.D holde		Department					
	(Refer criteria-5.3 in th	ie SAR)				2227		
					Y 20)	CAYm1 (2020)	CAYm2 (2020)	
	Student - Faculty		f students in					
	Ratio averaged over	Department (S)						
	CAY, CAYm1 and	No. of	faculty in					
	CAYm2	Department	: (F)					
	(Refer criteria 5.1 in	SFR (S/F)						
	the SAR)	Average S years	FR over 3			•		
		•	accredited by					
Previous	Last time NBA accreditation							
accreditation		With effect from						
(if any)								

CAY: Current Academic Year; CAYm1: Current Academic Year minus 1; CAYm2: Current Academic Year minus 2

Note:

All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except part-time or hourly based), will be considered. The contractual faculty appointed with any terminology whatsoever, who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters in corresponding academic year on full-time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the faculty student ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:

- 1. Shall have the CoA prescribed qualifications and experience.
- 2. Shall be appointed on full time basis and worked for consecutive two semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
- 3. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit.

Explicit observations about the program

(Please use additional sheets if necessary to elaborate)

Prog	gram title:
Stre	ngths:
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
Cond	cerns:
_	
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

wea	kness/Areas of improvement:
1.	
2.	
2	
J.	
4.	
5.	
Defic	ciencies:
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5 .	
	r Observations, if any:
1.	
2.	
3.	
4	
4.	

EVALUATION CRITERIA

AWARD OF ACCREDITATION FOR UNDERGRADUATE ARCHITECTURE PROGRAM

Accreditation for 6 years:

- i. Program should score a minimum of 750 points in aggregate out of 1,000 points with minimum score of 60 percent in mandatory fields (i.e. criteria 4, 5, 7)
- ii. Number of available Ph.D. in the department should be greater than or equal to 30 per cent of the required number of faculty, averaged over two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYm1).
- iii. The admissions in the UG program under consideration should be more than or equal to 50 percent, averaged over three academic years i.e., Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year minus One (CAYm1) and Current Academic Year minus Two (CAYm).
- iv. Faculty Student Ratio in the department should be less than or equal to 1:15, averaged over three academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYm1) and Current Academic Year Minus Two (CAYm2).
- v. At least 2 Professors or 1 Professor and 1 Associate Professor on regular basis with Ph.D. degree should be available in the respective department for two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYm1).
- vi. HOD of the program under consideration possesses Ph.D. degree in the Current Academic Year (CAY)

Accreditation for 3 years:

- i. Program should score a minimum of 600 points with at least 40 percent marks in Criterion V (Faculty Information and Contributions).
- ii. The admissions in the UG program under consideration should be more than or equal to 50 percent, averaged over three academic years, i.e., Current Academic Year (CAY), Current Academic Year minus One (CAYm1) and Current Academic Year minus Two minus(CAYm2).
- iii. At least one Professor or one Associate Professor on regular basis with Ph.D. degree is available in the respective department for two academic years i.e. Current Academic Year (CAY) and Current Academic Year Minus One (CAYm1).
- iv. The faculty student ratio in the department under consideration should be less than or equal to 1:20, averaged over three academic years i.e. Current Academic Year.

No Accreditation

❖ If the program fails to meet the criteria for award of accreditation for three years, it is awarded "Not Accredited" Status.

Programme Specific Criteria:

S. No.	Criteria	Max. Marks	Marks Awarded	Remarks
1	Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives	50		
2	Program Curriculum and Teaching -Learning Processes	150		
3	Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes	100		
4	Students' Performance	180		
5	Faculty Information and Contributions	200		
6	Facilities and Technical Support	100		
7	Continuous Improvement	70		
	TOTAL	750		

Signature	Signature
(Program Evaluator 1)	(Program Evaluator 2)

Declaration of Conformity with Evaluator's Report by the Team Chair

Criteria	Comments	