# NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION 

Pro-forma for Pre-Qualifiers - Postgraduate Engineering Programs
PART A- Profile of the Institute

Name of the program applied for:
A1. Name and Address of the College:-

City:-

State: - Pin Code:-

Website: - E-mail:-

STD Code:- Phone No:-

Fax STD Code: - Fax:-

A2. Year of Establishment:-

A3. Head of the Institution:-

Name: -

Status of Appointment:-

Phone No: -

E-mail:-

Designation:-

Mobile:-

Fax No:-

A4. Name and Address of the Affiliating University:-

City:-

State: -

Website: -

Phone No: -

A5. Type of the Institution:

Institute of National Importance
University
Deemed University
*Provide Details:

Pin Code:-

E-mail:-

Fax:-
Institute of National Importance
University
Deemed University
*Provide Details:

## A6. Ownership Status:

| Central Government | $\square$ | Trust |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| State Government | $\square$ | Society | $\square$ |
| Government Aided | $\square$ | Section 25 Company | $\square$ |
| Self-financing | $\square$ | Any Other (Please specify)* |  |

*Provide Details:

A7. Details of all the programs offered by the Department:

| Sr. No. | Program Name | Year of Start |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Table: A7

## A8. Programs to be considered for accreditation vide this application:

| Sr. No. | Program Name |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Table: A8

## PART B- Program information

(To be filled separately for all the programs applied for)
B1. Provide separate Information for each program applied for:-

| Sr. No. |  |  |  | Increase/ <br> Decrease <br> in intake, <br> if any <br> program to be <br> considered | Year of <br> Start | Initial <br> Intake <br> (he no. of <br> seats <br> increased/ <br> decreased, <br> also) | Year of <br> increase/d <br> ecrease |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table: B1
Note: Please mention all increase/decrease intake starting from the first increase for all programs

* Write applicable one:
- Applying first time
- Granted provisional accreditation for two/ three years for the period(specify period)
- Granted accreditation for 5/6 years for the period (specify period)
- Not accredited (specify visit dates, year)
- Withdrawn (specify visit dates, year)
- Not eligible for accreditation
- Eligible but not applied


## B2. Accreditation Status of Corresponding UG Program

 (Only for the program having corresponding UG Program)| Name of the program <br> to be considered | Corresponding <br> UG Program | Format <br> (Tier I/Tier II) | Accreditation <br> Status | No. of Compliances* <br> (Y)/Marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table: B2

## CAY: Current Academic Year

CAYm1: Current Academic Year minus 1
CAYm2: Current Academic Year minus 2

## B4. Faculty in the Department

Please provide the list of faculty (mentioning the expertise in the domain of the Post Graduate program) in the Department as per the below format separately (year wise) for each year under consideration

| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\mathbf{z}} \\ & \dot{w} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{3}$ 2 2 2 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E0 } \\ & \underline{E} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In case of contractual faculty } \\ & \text { (Full time or Part time) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B4
Note: Program shall have at least two professors or one professor and one associate professor with Ph.D. qualification having expertise in the domain of the Program under consideration.

## B5. Student Faculty Ratio

(To be calculated at Department Level)
No. of UG Programs in the Department (n): $\qquad$
No. of PG Programs in the Department (m): $\qquad$
No. of Students in UG 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Year= $\mathbf{u 1}$;
No. of Students in UG $3^{\text {rd }}$ Year= $\mathbf{u 2}$;
No. of Students in UG $4^{\text {th }}$ Year= $\mathbf{u 3}$
No. of Students in PG $1^{\text {st }}$ Year= p1;
No. of Students in PG $2^{\text {nd }}$ Year $=\mathbf{p 2}$
No. of Students $=$ Sanctioned Intake $\boldsymbol{+}$ actual admitted lateral entry, if any
(The above data to be provided considering all the UG and PG programs of the department)
$\mathbf{S}=$ Number of Students in the Department $=\mathrm{UG} 1+\mathrm{UG} 2+. .+\mathrm{UGn}+\mathrm{PG} 1+\ldots \mathrm{FGn}$
F = Total Number of Faculty Members in the Department (excluding first year faculty)
Student Teacher Ratio (STR) = S / F

| Year | CAY | CAYm1 | CAYm2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| u1.1 |  |  |  |
| u1.2 |  |  |  |
| u1.3 |  |  |  |
| UG1 | u1.1+u1.2+u1.3 | u1.1+u1.2+u1.3 | u1.1+u1.2+u1.3 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |
| Un. 1 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}} .2$ |  |  |  |
| $u_{\text {n }} .3$ |  |  |  |
| UGn | $u_{n} .1+u_{n} .2+u_{n} .3$ | $u_{n} .1+u_{n} .2+u_{n} .3$ | $u_{n} .1+u_{n} .2+u_{n} .3$ |
| p1.1 |  |  |  |
| p1.2 |  |  |  |
| PG1 | p1.1+p1.2 | p1.1+p1.2 | p1.1+p1.2 |
| ..... |  |  |  |
| pm. 1 |  |  |  |
| pm. 2 |  |  |  |
| PGm | pm. $1+\mathrm{pm} .2$ | pm.1+pm. 2 | pm.1+pm. 2 |
| Total No. of Students in the Department (S) | $\begin{gathered} \text { UG1 + UG2 +... +UGn } \\ \text { + PG1 + ...PGm } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UG1 + UG2 + .. +UGn + } \\ \text { PG1+... + PGm } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { UG1 + UG2 + .. +UGn + } \\ \text { PG1+... + PGm } \end{gathered}$ |
| No. of Faculty in the Department (F) | F | F | F |
| Student Faculty Ration $(\mathrm{SFR})$ | SFR1 $=$ S1/F1 | SFR2= S2/F2 | SFR3 $=$ S3/F3 |
| Average SFR |  | SFR=(SFR1 + SFR2+SFR3) |  |

Table: B5

## Note: Consideration of Contractual Faculty means:

- All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except part-time or hourly based), will be considered. The contractual faculty appointed with any terminology whatsoever, who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters in corresponding academic year on full-time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the faculty student ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:

1. Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.
2. Shall be appointed on full time basis and worked for consecutive two semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
3. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit.

- Faculty to be calculated Department wise as per the format given in SAR; Faculty appointment letters, time table, subject allocation file, salary statements and random interaction in person.
- No. of student's calculation as mentioned in the SAR (please refer table under criterion 4.1)
- Faculty Qualification as per AICTE guidelines shall only be counted


## Compliance Status to Pre-Visit Qualifiers

| Sr. No. | Pre Visit Qualifiers | Current Status | Compliance Status Complied/Not Complied |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Whether corresponding UG Program is accredited by NBA. |  |  |
| 2 | In case of Tier $I$, whether the corresponding UG Engineering program has been granted at least 3 Compliances $(Y)$ in SAR with 9 criteria and at least 4 Compliances ( Y ) in SAR with $\mathbf{1 0}$ criteria <br> Or <br> In case of Tier II, whether the corresponding UG Engineering program has been granted at least 650 marks out of 1000 . |  |  |
| 3 | Whether the at least two Professors or one Professor and one Associate Professor with Ph.D. qualification (on a full-time/regular basis) having expertise in the domain of the Program under consideration during CAY and CAYm1. Also, provide the name of the professor and associate professor concerned. |  |  |
| 4 | Whether the department have at least two faculty having Ph.D. qualification during the CAY and CAYm1. |  |  |
| 5 | Whether faculty student ratio in the department under consideration is better than or equal to 1:25 averaged over CAY, CAYm1 and CAYm2. |  |  |

Note: Point No. 1 \& 2 above will not be applicable to the Post Graduate Program, that do not have corresponding Under Graduate Program.

